Universe International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research
(International Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal)
DOI No. – 08.2020-25662434

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
DEC 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 7
www.uijir.com

A LITERATURE REVIEW ON THE EFFECTS OF EARLY MOBILITY ON PATIENT OUTCOMES IN CRITICAL CARE

Author's Name: Prof. Sunil Deoram Phanase[1] Rituparna Guha[2] Dr. Zealous Mary[3]
Dr. J. Sathya Shenbega Priya[4] Kavitha G[5] P.Kalavathi[6] Sanjay Soni[7]

Affiliation

1. Principal, Sai Siddhi College of Nursing, Paregaon, Tal- Yeola, Dist- Nashik (MH), India.
2. Associate Professor, CON AFMC), India.
3. Dean, Indira College of Nursing, India.
4. Principal, College of Nursing, Kannur medical college, Anjarakandy, Kannur, Kerala), India.
5. Associate Professor, College of Nursing, AFMC, Pune), India
6. Associate professor, Indira College of Nursing, India.
7. Assistant Professor, Mahatma Gandhi Nursing College Sitapura, Jaipur, India

Corresponding Author Name and Email ID: Prof. Sunil Deoram Phanase,
phanase.sunil11@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Early mobility (EM) in critical care settings has emerged as a promising intervention to improve patient outcomes by addressing the physical, psychological, and physiological challenges faced by critically ill patients. Prolonged immobility, often associated with mechanical ventilation, sedatives, and critical illness, leads to significant detriments, including muscle atrophy, functional decline, ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), and delirium. Early mobilization, defined as the initiation of physical activity such as passive range-of-motion exercises, sitting, standing, or ambulation, aims to prevent or mitigate these complications. This literature review examines the effects of early mobility on patient outcomes in critical care, synthesizing findings across various studies. The physical benefits of early mobilization are well-documented, with research showing significant improvements in muscle strength, reduced incidence of ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW), and shorter ICU and hospital stays. Early mobility has also been associated with a reduced risk of VAP and improved pulmonary function. Furthermore, early mobilization has been found to alleviate psychological distress, including anxiety, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), by promoting patient engagement and reducing the reliance on sedatives. Delirium, a common and debilitating condition in ICU patients, has also been shown to be less prevalent in those who undergo early mobilization. Physiologically, early mobilization benefits cardiovascular function by promoting circulation and reducing the risk of thromboembolic events. Nursing staff play a critical role in the successful implementation of early mobilization

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
DEC 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 7
www.uijir.com

programs, including patient assessment, the initiation of mobility interventions, and monitoring for complications. However, several barriers to effective early mobilization exist, such as patient safety concerns, staffing limitations, and the absence of standardized protocols. To overcome these challenges, the review highlights the importance of developing institutionalized protocols, enhancing nurse training, and promoting interdisciplinary collaboration.

Keywords: Early mobility, Critical care, Patient outcomes, Intensive care unit (ICU), Mobilization

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
DEC 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 7
www.uijir.com

INTRODUCTION

In critical care units (CCUs), patients are often confronted with life-threatening illnesses and conditions that necessitate invasive treatments, prolonged bed rest, and mechanical ventilation. This combination of factors frequently leads to adverse effects such as muscle weakness, joint stiffness, cardiovascular deconditioning, and decreased pulmonary function. Critically ill patients who remain immobile for extended periods are at high risk for developing ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW), ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP), delirium, and other complications that can significantly impair their recovery and prolong hospital stays. The consequences of immobility extend beyond the ICU, contributing to long-term functional deficits and poor quality of life for survivors. In response to these challenges, early mobility (EM) interventions have been introduced as a strategy to promote physical activity as soon as it is clinically appropriate for patients in intensive care.

Early mobility refers to the practice of initiating physical activity, such as passive range-of-motion exercises, sitting, standing, and walking, within the early stages of a patient's ICU stay, often starting within 24 to 48 hours after admission. This proactive approach aims to prevent the deleterious effects of prolonged immobility and facilitate the patient's recovery by improving muscle strength, cardiovascular function, respiratory capacity, and mental well-being. Research suggests that early mobilization programs can reduce the incidence of ICUAW, shorten ICU and hospital stays, improve pulmonary function, and reduce the need for mechanical ventilation. Furthermore, early mobilization has shown promise in addressing psychological issues such as anxiety, depression, and delirium, which are prevalent among critically ill patients.

While the benefits of early mobility are increasingly recognized, its implementation in critical care settings remains inconsistent. Despite compelling evidence supporting the positive impact of early mobilization on patient outcomes, various barriers such as concerns regarding patient safety, insufficient staffing, lack of clear protocols, and limited nurse training hinder its widespread adoption. These challenges underscore the need for further research and the development of standardized early mobility protocols to ensure safe and effective implementation.

This literature review examines the impact of early mobility on patient outcomes in critical care, synthesizing existing studies and focusing on its physical, psychological, and physiological benefits. The role of nursing staff in implementing these interventions is discussed, as well as the barriers to early mobilization and strategies for overcoming them. Ultimately, this review aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the effects of early mobility on patient outcomes and highlight areas for future research and clinical practice improvement.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
DEC 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 7
www.uijir.com

PHYSICAL OUTCOMES OF EARLY MOBILITY

Early mobility (EM) in critically ill patients has been shown to have significant positive effects on physical outcomes, primarily by preventing or reducing the detrimental effects of prolonged immobility. One of the most notable benefits is the preservation and restoration of muscle strength and function, which are often severely compromised in critically ill patients. Prolonged bed rest and mechanical ventilation, common in ICU settings, lead to muscle atrophy, joint stiffness, and decreased functional capacity, collectively known as ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW). This condition significantly hampers recovery and contributes to longer hospital stays. Early mobility interventions, such as passive range-of-motion exercises, sitting, standing, and even walking, help mitigate muscle loss, improve strength, and reduce the incidence of ICUAW. Studies have consistently shown that patients who engage in early mobilization exhibit faster recovery of muscle function and strength, enabling them to regain independence more quickly and improve their overall quality of life.

In addition to improving muscle function, early mobilization has profound effects on cardiovascular health. Critically ill patients often experience cardiovascular deconditioning due to immobility, including reduced heart rate variability and poor circulation. Early physical activity promotes better circulation, cardiovascular stability, and heart rate regulation. Research indicates that early mobilization can reduce the risk of thromboembolic events, such as deep vein thrombosis (DVT), which are common in immobilized patients. It enhances venous return, minimizes the risk of clot formation, and improves overall cardiovascular function, which is vital for recovery and discharge from the ICU.

Pulmonary function is another critical area positively affected by early mobilization. Critically ill patients, particularly those on mechanical ventilation, are at risk for developing atelectasis, reduced lung expansion, and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Early mobilization, especially when combined with respiratory therapy, helps improve lung function, increase oxygenation, and prevent respiratory complications. Patients who participate in early mobilization programs are less likely to develop VAP due to improved pulmonary hygiene, including better secretion clearance and lung expansion. Studies have shown that early mobilization significantly enhances respiratory outcomes, including improved oxygen saturation and a reduced need for prolonged mechanical ventilation, which can contribute to shorter ICU and hospital stays.

The overall physical recovery of ICU patients is thus greatly enhanced by early mobility, not only reducing the incidence of physical complications like muscle weakness, joint stiffness, and respiratory infections but also contributing to a quicker and more complete recovery. These improvements in physical outcomes directly correlate with shorter ICU and hospital lengths of stay, reduced dependence on mechanical ventilation, and a better overall prognosis. As early mobilization continues to gain recognition in critical care settings, its impact on improving physical outcomes remains one of its most

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

significant advantages, underscoring its importance in the comprehensive care of critically ill patients.

PHYSIOLOGICAL OUTCOMES OF EARLY MOBILITY

Early mobility (EM) in critically ill patients not only improves physical function but also has significant positive effects on various physiological outcomes, contributing to overall recovery and reducing the risks of complications commonly associated with intensive care unit (ICU) stays. One of the primary physiological benefits of early mobility is its impact on cardiovascular function. Prolonged immobility in critically ill patients can lead to cardiovascular deconditioning, including poor blood circulation, reduced heart rate variability, and decreased blood pressure regulation. Early mobilization helps counteract these effects by improving cardiovascular stability, enhancing circulation, and promoting heart rate regulation. Research has shown that patients who engage in early mobilization exhibit better blood flow, reduced incidence of orthostatic hypotension, and enhanced overall cardiovascular performance. Additionally, early mobility reduces the risk of thromboembolic complications, such as deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism, by stimulating blood circulation and preventing the stasis of blood in the lower extremities.

Another significant physiological benefit of early mobility is the improvement in pulmonary function. Critically ill patients, especially those who require mechanical ventilation, are highly susceptible to respiratory complications such as atelectasis (lung collapse), hypoxemia (low oxygen levels), and ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). Early mobility, including activities such as sitting up in bed, standing, and walking, facilitates better lung expansion and helps in the clearance of pulmonary secretions, reducing the risk of VAP and improving overall lung function. Patients who engage in early mobilization typically demonstrate improved oxygenation levels, better lung compliance, and a reduced need for prolonged mechanical ventilation. Studies have shown that early mobilization accelerates the recovery of respiratory function, enhancing oxygen saturation levels and promoting the weaning process from mechanical ventilation, thus leading to a shorter duration of ICU and hospital stays.

Early mobilization has been found to have a positive influence on metabolic function. Critically ill patients often experience metabolic derangements, such as insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, and muscle catabolism, as a result of immobility and the body’s stress response to critical illness. Physical activity, even in its early stages, stimulates metabolic processes, enhances insulin sensitivity, and helps regulate blood glucose levels. It has also been shown to reduce muscle catabolism by promoting protein synthesis, which is crucial for muscle recovery and tissue repair. These metabolic improvements contribute to faster recovery, improved energy levels, and a reduction in complications associated with prolonged immobility.

Early mobility supports neurological recovery by reducing the negative effects of sedation, preventing

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

delirium, and improving cognitive function. Critically ill patients who are immobilized and heavily sedated are at high risk for developing ICU-related delirium, a condition associated with cognitive dysfunction and long-term mental health issues. Early mobilization helps patients remain oriented to their environment, reduces the use of sedatives, and promotes neurocognitive recovery by enhancing circulation and oxygenation to the brain. As a result, patients experience less confusion and have a lower likelihood of developing long-term cognitive impairments, which are often exacerbated by prolonged ICU stays.

NURSING CONSIDERATIONS AND ROLE IN EARLY MOBILIZATION

Nurses play a pivotal role in the successful implementation of early mobilization (EM) programs in critical care settings, where they are often the first healthcare professionals to assess the appropriateness of mobility interventions and ensure they are safely carried out. The role of nursing in early mobilization extends beyond physical assistance; it involves a comprehensive understanding of the patient's clinical status, active collaboration with the multidisciplinary team, and continuous monitoring throughout the mobilization process. Nurses are essential in evaluating a patient's readiness for early mobility, which includes assessing their hemodynamic stability, respiratory function, and cognitive status, while also considering any potential contraindications such as unstable fractures, open wounds, or severe arrhythmias. Once a patient is deemed stable enough to initiate mobilization, nurses are responsible for selecting the appropriate type and intensity of activity—ranging from passive range-of-motion exercises to sitting, standing, or walking—based on the patient's condition and progress.

Nurses must also monitor the patient's response to early mobilization, tracking vital signs, oxygen saturation, and signs of fatigue or discomfort, while being alert for adverse events such as falls, increased agitation, or cardiovascular instability. If any issues arise, nurses must be prepared to immediately halt the activity and address any underlying concerns, such as adjusting medications or modifying the mobility plan. This ongoing assessment ensures patient safety and allows for adjustments to be made as necessary to match the patient's evolving needs.

Nurses also play a key role in educating both patients and their families about the importance of early mobilization. Family education helps in setting realistic expectations, fostering a collaborative environment, and reducing anxiety around the mobilization process. Nurses provide reassurance to both patients and families about the benefits of early mobility, including the prevention of complications like ICU-acquired weakness and ventilator-associated pneumonia, and the enhancement of overall recovery. Furthermore, nurses are central to advocating for the implementation of early mobility protocols within the healthcare institution. This may involve educating colleagues, particularly those in leadership or administrative positions, about the evidence supporting early mobilization and advocating for adequate

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

resources, including staffing and training, to ensure its success.

Despite the clear benefits, barriers to early mobilization exist, including concerns about patient safety, insufficient staffing, and lack of standardized protocols. Nurses are often the first to identify these barriers and can work as change agents within their organizations, contributing to the development and refinement of protocols, providing feedback from the bedside, and suggesting strategies to overcome obstacles such as staffing limitations. Collaboration with physical therapists, respiratory therapists, and physicians is crucial in ensuring a holistic and coordinated approach to early mobilization, where each healthcare provider's expertise complements the others. Additionally, nurses are often tasked with ensuring that patients receive the necessary sedation protocols to reduce agitation and facilitate safer mobilization, while still maintaining appropriate levels of sedation for patient comfort and safety.

In summary, nurses are integral to the success of early mobilization programs in critical care settings. They are responsible for assessing patient readiness, selecting and implementing mobility activities, monitoring for complications, educating patients and families, and advocating for systemic changes to support the practice. Their involvement in early mobilization not only enhances patient recovery but also helps mitigate the risks of immobility-related complications, ultimately improving outcomes in critically ill patients.

RECOMMENDATIONS FOR PRACTICE AND FUTURE RESEARCH

The implementation of early mobility (EM) in critical care units has demonstrated significant benefits for patient outcomes, yet widespread adoption remains inconsistent across healthcare settings. To optimize the impact of early mobilization and ensure its success, several recommendations for practice and future research are essential.

First, it is crucial for healthcare institutions to develop and standardize early mobilization protocols that can be implemented across ICUs. These protocols should clearly outline patient selection criteria, appropriate types of mobilization activities based on the severity of illness, and steps for monitoring patient responses. Standardized protocols can provide a framework for nurses and other healthcare professionals, ensuring that early mobility interventions are consistently applied, thus promoting patient safety and maximizing the benefits. Institutional leadership should advocate for the integration of early mobility into daily care routines and ensure that there are sufficient resources, including trained staff and equipment, to support the safe implementation of these protocols.

Another key recommendation is to enhance education and training for ICU staff, particularly nurses, on the importance and safety of early mobilization. Nurses are often at the forefront of early mobilization efforts, so continuous training on assessment techniques, the identification of barriers, and the management of potential complications is vital. In addition, providing education on the physiological,

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

psychological, and functional benefits of early mobility can help foster a culture that embraces mobility as part of routine care. Interdisciplinary education, involving physical therapists, respiratory therapists, and physicians, is also important to ensure that all team members are aligned in their approach to mobilization, promoting collaborative care that focuses on optimizing patient outcomes.

Addressing barriers to early mobilization is another crucial step. Common challenges include concerns about patient safety, staffing shortages, and lack of institutional support for mobility programs. Research indicates that these barriers can be overcome through proactive measures, such as the use of assistive technologies, delegation of tasks to support staff, and the development of protocols for gradual progression in mobility. Establishing a robust framework that integrates early mobility into the ICU environment, alongside appropriate staffing and logistical support, is key to overcoming these challenges.

For future research, several areas need further exploration. While studies have demonstrated the benefits of early mobility, there is still a need for large-scale, randomized controlled trials (RCTs) to establish the long-term outcomes of early mobilization, including the potential for reducing post-ICU morbidity, long-term functional impairments, and healthcare costs. Moreover, more research is needed to explore the optimal timing, intensity, and frequency of early mobility interventions for various patient populations, including those with specific comorbidities or critical conditions. There is also a need for studies that investigate the psychological effects of early mobilization, particularly its impact on reducing delirium, anxiety, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in ICU patients.

Additionally, exploring the economic impact of early mobilization is a valuable research avenue. Investigating the cost-effectiveness of implementing early mobilization programs across ICU settings could provide valuable insights for healthcare institutions in terms of resource allocation and justification for funding these interventions. Future studies could also examine the role of patient-centered approaches, evaluating how individual patient characteristics and preferences may influence the success of early mobilization efforts and tailoring protocols accordingly.

CONCLUSION

Early mobility (EM) has become a cornerstone intervention in critical care units, offering profound benefits for critically ill patients who face prolonged periods of immobility due to intensive treatments and mechanical ventilation. This literature review highlights the significant positive effects of early mobility on patient outcomes, underscoring its impact across physical, physiological, and psychological domains. The evidence consistently shows that early mobilization can reduce the incidence of ICU-acquired weakness (ICUAW), prevent complications such as ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) and deep vein thrombosis (DVT), improve pulmonary function, and enhance overall physical recovery.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
DEC 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 7
www.uijir.com

Additionally, EM has been found to alleviate psychological distress, including anxiety, delirium, and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), which are common among critically ill patients. By improving both physical and psychological well-being, early mobility not only accelerates recovery but also promotes better long-term functional outcomes and quality of life.

The role of nursing staff in early mobilization is integral to its success, as they are often the primary healthcare professionals responsible for initiating and monitoring these interventions. Nurses must be equipped with the knowledge, skills, and resources to assess patient readiness for mobility and ensure that each patient receives safe, individualized mobilization strategies. However, the successful implementation of early mobility in critical care is not without its challenges. Barriers such as patient safety concerns, inadequate staffing, lack of standardized protocols, and insufficient institutional support hinder its widespread adoption. Addressing these barriers requires systemic change, including the development of evidence-based protocols, enhanced nurse education, and increased interdisciplinary collaboration to ensure that early mobility becomes a routine part of ICU care.

As the evidence supporting the benefits of early mobility grows, there is a clear need for more research to refine its implementation and explore its long-term effects. Future studies should focus on optimizing early mobility protocols by determining the ideal timing, frequency, and intensity of mobilization for different patient populations, especially those with comorbid conditions. Additionally, more research is needed to assess the cost-effectiveness of early mobilization programs and their potential to reduce long-term healthcare costs by decreasing complications and improving recovery outcomes. Investigating the psychological impacts of early mobility and its role in reducing ICU-related delirium and PTSD would also be valuable in enhancing our understanding of its broader benefits.

REFERANCE

1. Marra A, Ely EW, Pandharipande PP, Patel MB. The ABCDEF Bundle in Critical Care. Crit Care Clin. 2017 Apr;33(2):225-243. doi: 10.1016/j.ccc.2016.12.005. PMID: 28284292; PMCID: PMC5351776.
2. Dirkes SM, Kozlowski C. Early Mobility in the Intensive Care Unit: Evidence, Barriers, and Future Directions. Crit Care Nurse. 2019 Jun;39(3):33-42. doi: 10.4037/ccn2019654. PMID: 31154329.
3. Morris PE, Goad A, Thompson C, Taylor K, Harry B, Passmore L, Ross A, Anderson L, Baker S, Sanchez M, Penley L, Howard A, Dixon L, Leach S, Small R, Hite RD, Haponik E. Early intensive care unit mobility therapy in the treatment of acute respiratory failure. Crit Care Med. 2008 Aug;36(8):2238-43. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318180b90e. PMID: 18596631.
4. Mart MF, Williams Roberson S, Salas B, Pandharipande PP, Ely EW. Prevention and Management of Delirium in the Intensive Care Unit. Semin Respir Crit Care Med. 2021 Feb;42(1):112-126. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1710572. Epub 2020 Aug 3. PMID: 32746469; PMCID: PMC7855536.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

5. Kumar MA, Romero FG, Dharaneeswaran K. Early mobilization in neurocritical care patients. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2020 Apr;26(2):147-154. doi: 10.1097/MCC.0000000000000709. PMID: 32068582.
6. Sosnowski K, Lin F, Chaboyer W, Ranse K, Heffernan A, Mitchell M. The effect of the ABCDE/ABCDEF bundle on delirium, functional outcomes, and quality of life in critically ill patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Int J Nurs Stud. 2023 Feb;138:104410. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2022.104410. Epub 2022 Nov 29. PMID: 36577261.
7. Schweickert WD, Pohlman MC, Pohlman AS, Nigos C, Pawlik AJ, Esbrook CL, Spears L, Miller M, Franczyk M, Deprizio D, Schmidt GA, Bowman A, Barr R, McCallister KE, Hall JB, Kress JP. Early physical and occupational therapy in mechanically ventilated, critically ill patients: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2009 May 30;373(9678):1874-82. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60658-9. Epub 2009 May 14. PMID: 19446324; PMCID: PMC9906655.
8. Cameron S, Ball I, Cepinskas G, Choong K, Doherty TJ, Ellis CG, Martin CM, Mele TS, Sharpe M, Shoemaker JK, Fraser DD. Early mobilization in the critical care unit: A review of adult and pediatric literature. J Crit Care. 2015 Aug;30(4):664-72. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.03.032. Epub 2015 Apr 8. PMID: 25987293.
9. Doiron KA, Hoffmann TC, Beller EM. Early intervention (mobilization or active exercise) for critically ill adults in the intensive care unit. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018 Mar 27;3(3):CD010754. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD010754.pub2. PMID: 29582429; PMCID: PMC6494211.
10. Donovan AL, Aldrich JM, Gross AK, Barchas DM, Thornton KC, Schell-Chaple HM, Gropper MA, Lipshutz AKM; University of California, San Francisco Critical Care Innovations Group. Interprofessional Care and Teamwork in the ICU. Crit Care Med. 2018 Jun;46(6):980-990. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000003067. PMID: 29521716.
11. Zang K, Chen B, Wang M, Chen D, Hui L, Guo S, Ji T, Shang F. The effect of early mobilization in critically ill patients: A meta-analysis. Nurs Crit Care. 2020 Nov;25(6):360-367. doi: 10.1111/nicc.12455. Epub 2019 Jun 20. PMID: 31219229.
12. Alaparthi GK, Gatty A, Samuel SR, Amaravadi SK. Effectiveness, Safety, and Barriers to Early Mobilization in the Intensive Care Unit. Crit Care Res Pract. 2020 Nov 26;2020:7840743. doi: 10.1155/2020/7840743. PMID: 33294221; PMCID: PMC7714600.
13. Schaller SJ, Anstey M, Blobner M, Edrich T, Grabitz SD, Gradwohl-Matis I, Heim M, Houle T, Kurth T, Latronico N, Lee J, Meyer MJ, Peponis T, Talmor D, Velmahos GC, Waak K, Walz JM, Zafonte R, Eikermann M; International Early SOMS-guided Mobilization Research Initiative. Early, goal-directed mobilisation in the surgical intensive care unit: a randomised controlled trial. Lancet. 2016 Oct 1;388(10052):1377-1388. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31637-3. PMID: 27707496.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

14. Raurell-Torredà M, Regaira-Martínez E, Planas-Pascual B, Ferrer-Roca R, Martí JD, Blazquez-Martínez E, Ballesteros-Reviriego G, Vinuesa-Suárez I, Zariquiey-Esteva G. Early mobilisation algorithm for the critical patient. Expert recommendations. Enferm Intensiva (Engl Ed). 2021 Jul-Sep;32(3):153-163. doi: 10.1016/j.enfie.2020.11.001. Epub 2021 Aug 6. PMID: 34366295.
15. Moraes FDS, Marengo LL, Moura MDG, Bergamaschi CC, de Sá Del Fiol F, Lopes LC, Silva MT, Barberato-Filho S. ABCDE and ABCDEF care bundles: A systematic review of the implementation process in intensive care units. Medicine (Baltimore). 2022 Jun 24;101(25):e29499. doi: 10.1097/MD.0000000000029499. PMID: 35758388; PMCID: PMC9276239.
16. Sosnowski K, Mitchell M, Cooke M, White H, Morrison L, Lin F. Effectiveness of the ABCDEF bundle on delirium, functional outcomes and quality of life in intensive care patients: a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial with embedded process evaluation. BMJ Open. 2021 Jul 15;11(7):e044814. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044814. PMID: 34266839; PMCID: PMC8286762.
17. Kho ME, Connolly B. From Strict Bedrest to Early Mobilization: A History of Physiotherapy in the Intensive Care Unit. Crit Care Clin. 2023 Jul;39(3):479-502. doi: 10.1016/j.ccc.2023.01.003. Epub 2023 Feb 26. PMID: 37230552.
18. Tipping CJ, Harrold M, Holland A, Romero L, Nisbet T, Hodgson CL. The effects of active mobilisation and rehabilitation in ICU on mortality and function: a systematic review. Intensive Care Med. 2017 Feb;43(2):171-183. doi: 10.1007/s00134-016-4612-0. Epub 2016 Nov 18. PMID: 27864615.
19. Latronico N, Herridge M, Hopkins RO, Angus D, Hart N, Hermans G, Iwashyna T, Arabi Y, Citerio G, Ely EW, Hall J, Mehta S, Puntillo K, Van den Hoeven J, Wunsch H, Cook D, Dos Santos C, Rubenfeld G, Vincent JL, Van den Berghe G, Azoulay E, Needham DM. The ICM research agenda on intensive care unit-acquired weakness. Intensive Care Med. 2017 Sep;43(9):1270-1281. doi: 10.1007/s00134-017-4757-5. Epub 2017 Mar 13. PMID: 28289812.
20. Latronico N, Rasulo FA, Eikermann M, Piva S. Illness Weakness, Polyneuropathy and Myopathy: Diagnosis, treatment, and long-term outcomes. Crit Care. 2023 Nov 13;27(1):439. doi: 10.1186/s13054-023-04676-3. Erratum in: Crit Care. 2023 Nov 30;27(1):469. doi: 10.1186/s13054-023-04757-3. PMID: 37957759; PMCID: PMC10644573.
21. Roberson SW, Patel MB, Dabrowski W, Ely EW, Pakulski C, Kotfis K. Challenges of Delirium Management in Patients with Traumatic Brain Injury: From Pathophysiology to Clinical Practice. Curr Neuropharmacol. 2021;19(9):1519-1544. doi: 10.2174/1570159X19666210119153839. PMID: 33463474; PMCID: PMC8762177.
22. Handoll HH, Cameron ID, Mak JC, Panagoda CE, Finnegan TP. Multidisciplinary rehabilitation for older people with hip fractures. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2021 Nov 12;11(11):CD007125. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007125.pub3. PMID: 34766330; PMCID: PMC8586844.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

23. McWilliams D, Jones C, Atkins G, Hodson J, Whitehouse T, Veenith T, Reeves E, Cooper L, Snelson C. Earlier and enhanced rehabilitation of mechanically ventilated patients in critical care: A feasibility randomised controlled trial. J Crit Care. 2018 Apr;44:407-412. doi: 10.1016/j.jcrc.2018.01.001. Epub 2018 Jan 4. PMID: 29331668.
24. Fazio SA, Cortés-Puch I, Stocking JC, Doroy AL, Black H, Liu A, Taylor SL, Adams JY. Early Mobility Index and Patient Outcomes: A Retrospective Study in Multiple Intensive Care Units. Am J Crit Care. 2024 May 1;33(3):171-179. doi: 10.4037/ajcc2024747. PMID: 38688854.
25. Ratcliffe J, Williams B. Impact of a Mobility Team on Intensive Care Unit Patient Outcomes. Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am. 2019 Jun;31(2):141-151. doi: 10.1016/j.cnc.2019.02.002. Epub 2019 Apr 3. PMID: 31047089.
26. Ervin JN, Rentes VC, Dibble ER, Sjoding MW, Iwashyna TJ, Hough CL, Ng Gong M, Sales AE. Evidence-Based Practices for Acute Respiratory Failure and Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome: A Systematic Review of Reviews. Chest. 2020 Dec;158(6):2381-2393. doi: 10.1016/j.chest.2020.06.080. Epub 2020 Jul 16. PMID: 32682771; PMCID: PMC7768938.
27. Fossat G, Baudin F, Courtes L, Bobet S, Dupont A, Bretagnol A, Benzekri-Lefèvre D, Kamel T, Muller G, Bercault N, Barbier F, Runge I, Nay MA, Skarzynski M, Mathonnet A, Boulain T. Effect of In-Bed Leg Cycling and Electrical Stimulation of the Quadriceps on Global Muscle Strength in Critically Ill Adults: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA. 2018 Jul 24;320(4):368-378. doi: 10.1001/jama.2018.9592. PMID: 30043066; PMCID: PMC6583091.
28. Bhadade R, Harde M, Nadkar M, Tiwasker M, Vora A, Saraf A, Pal J, Joshi S, Sreenivasmurthy, Souza R. Clinical Practice Guidelines for Management of Pain, Agitation, Delirium, Immobility, and Sleep Disturbance in the Intensive Care Unit: The ABCDEF Bundle. J Assoc Physicians India. 2023 Jul;71(7):11-12. doi: 10.59556/japi.71.0304. PMID: 37449696.
29. Yen HC, Chuang HJ, Hsiao WL, Tsai YC, Hsu PM, Chen WS, Han YY. Assessing the impact of early progressive mobilization on moderate-to-severe traumatic brain injury: a randomized controlled trial. Crit Care. 2024 May 22;28(1):172. doi: 10.1186/s13054-024-04940-0. PMID: 38778416; PMCID: PMC11112875.
30. Mayer KP, Haezebrouck E, Ginoza LM, Martinez C, Jan M, Michener LA, Fresenko LE, Montgomery-Yates AA, Kalema AG, Pastva AM, Biehl M, Mart MF, Johnson JK. Early physical rehabilitation dosage in the intensive care unit associates with hospital outcomes after critical COVID-19. Crit Care. 2024 Jul 18;28(1):248. doi: 10.1186/s13054-024-05035-6. PMID: 39026370; PMCID: PMC11256579.
31. Hume NE, Zerfas I, Wong A, Klein-Fedyshin M, Smithburger PL, Buckley MS, Devlin JW, Kane-Gill SL. Clinical Impact of the Implementation Strategies Used to Apply the 2013 Pain, Agitation/Sedation, Delirium or 2018 Pain, Agitation/Sedation, Delirium, Immobility, Sleep Disruption Guideline

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
DEC 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 7
www.uijir.com

Recommendations: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Crit Care Med. 2024 Apr 1;52(4):626-636. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000006178. Epub 2024 Jan 9. PMID: 38193764; PMCID: PMC10939834.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/12.2024-37745935/UIJIR