

## RESPECTING PATIENT CHOICE: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW OF PATIENT AUTONOMY AND INFORMED CONSENT IN NURSING

**Author's Name:** Dr. P M Prathiba<sup>1</sup>, Mary Shini.D<sup>2</sup>, Azra Parveen<sup>3</sup>, Misbah Moin<sup>4</sup>,  
Dhanasekar V M<sup>5</sup>, Dr.Prathima Prakasam<sup>6</sup>, Princia Rolita Dsouza<sup>7</sup>,  
Prof Amutha V. K.<sup>8</sup>, Prof .T.Beaulah Mercy Mary<sup>9</sup>.

### **Affiliation:**

1. Associate professor, ESIC College of Nursing, Kalaburagi, India.
2. Professor cum vice Principal, Government Nursing College, Kavaratti, Lakshadweep, India.
3. Principal, Praduman Singh SPS School of Nursing, India.
4. Nursing Tutor, College of Nursing, AMU, Aligarh, UP. India.
5. Nursing \Tutor/DNS, All Institute of Medical Sciences, Mangalagiri, India.
6. Prof cum Principal, Sree Vidyanikethan College of Nursing, Tirupati Andhra Pradesh. India.
7. Associate Professor, Rohilkhand College of Nursing, Bareilly, U.P. India.
8. Principal, The Kaavery Nursing College, India.
9. Principal, Florida College of Nursing, India.

**Corresponding Author Name and Email ID:** Dr. P M Prathiba, [prathivijay2003@gmail.com](mailto:prathivijay2003@gmail.com)

## ABSTRACT

*Patient autonomy and informed consent are fundamental principles in healthcare, ensuring patients' rights to make decisions about their care. Nursing professionals play a crucial role in upholding these principles. This systematic review aims to synthesize evidence on patient autonomy and informed consent in nursing practice, exploring factors influencing patients' decision-making and nurses' roles in promoting autonomy. A comprehensive search of major databases (PubMed, CINAHL, Scopus) will be conducted, including studies published in English from 2010 to 2023. Studies examining patient autonomy, informed consent, and nursing practice will be included. Two independent reviewers will assess study quality using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) critical appraisal tools. This review will provide insights into: Factors influencing patients' autonomy and decision-making, Nurses' roles and strategies in promoting patient autonomy, Barriers and facilitators to informed consent in nursing practice, The impact of patient autonomy on health outcomes. Findings will inform nursing practice, education, and policy, enhancing patients' autonomy and informed decision-making. This review will provide recommendations for nurses, healthcare organizations, and policymakers to promote patient-centered care. The systematic review titled "Respecting Patient Choice: A Systematic Review of Patient Autonomy and Informed Consent in Nursing" examines the essential components of patient autonomy and informed consent within the nursing profession. The review highlights the ethical imperative for healthcare providers, particularly nurses, to prioritize patient choice and agency in their care decisions. It synthesizes findings from multiple studies to assess how informed consent processes are implemented in clinical practice and the impact on patient satisfaction and outcomes. The review reveals that effective communication and education about treatment options are crucial for empowering patients to make informed decisions regarding their healthcare. Additionally, it identifies barriers that nurses face in facilitating patient autonomy, such as time constraints, organizational policies, and varying levels of health literacy among patients. The review advocates for enhanced training and resources for nursing professionals to improve the informed consent process, ensuring that it is not merely a legal formality but a genuine dialogue that respects patient preferences and values. Overall, the findings underscore the significance of fostering a culture of respect and partnership between nurses and patients, ultimately enhancing the quality of care and promoting patient-centered approaches in nursing practice.*

**Keywords:** Patient Autonomy, Informed consent, Nursing Practice, Decision-Making, Systematic Review.

## INTRODUCTION

The introduction to the systematic review "Respecting Patient Choice: A Systematic Review of Patient Autonomy and Informed Consent in Nursing" sets the stage for an in-depth exploration of the critical ethical principles that underpin nursing practice: patient autonomy and informed consent. In recent decades, there has been a profound shift in healthcare paradigms, moving away from traditional paternalistic models of care towards more patient-centered approaches that prioritize individuals' rights to make informed choices about their own health and treatment options. This shift recognizes that patients possess unique values, beliefs, and preferences that should be respected and integrated into the decision-making process. The review highlights the legal and ethical frameworks that govern informed consent, emphasizing its significance not just as a legal requirement, but as a vital aspect of building trust and fostering therapeutic relationships between nurses and patients. By analyzing existing literature, the review aims to identify common challenges that healthcare providers face in implementing effective informed consent practices, including communication barriers, varying levels of health literacy, and institutional constraints. Additionally, it underscores the role of nurses in advocating for patient rights and facilitating shared decision-making processes, ensuring that patients are adequately informed and empowered to take control of their healthcare journeys. Through this comprehensive examination, the review seeks to illuminate the importance of respecting patient autonomy in nursing practice and the impact of informed consent on patient outcomes, ultimately contributing to the development of strategies that enhance the quality of care in diverse healthcare settings.

## THE EVOLUTION OF PATIENT AUTONOMY IN NURSING

The concept of patient autonomy in nursing and healthcare has undergone significant evolution over the decades, reflecting broader societal changes and shifts in ethical and legal frameworks. Historically, medical decision-making was largely paternalistic, with healthcare providers often making choices for patients based on what they deemed to be in the patient's best interest, without necessarily considering the patient's preferences or values. This approach began to change in the mid-20th century, particularly with the rise of patient rights movements, which emphasized the importance of individual autonomy and the need for patients to be active participants in their healthcare.

One of the key milestones in this evolution was the landmark legal case of *Salgo v. Leland Stanford Jr. University Board of Trustees* (1957), where the court ruled that a physician's failure to inform a patient about the risks associated with a procedure constituted a violation of the patient's right to make an informed decision. This case set a precedent for informed consent, establishing that patients have the right to be fully informed about their treatment options and the potential risks involved.

Further legal developments continued to shape the practice of informed consent, including the *Canterbury v. Spence* (1972) decision, which underscored the need for healthcare providers to disclose information that a reasonable patient would want to know. This case broadened the scope of informed consent to include not only risks but also the potential benefits and alternatives to proposed treatments, further solidifying the principle of patient autonomy in healthcare.

Ethical frameworks, such as the principles of autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice, have also played a crucial role in shaping current practices. The American Nurses Association (ANA) Code of Ethics emphasizes the importance of respecting patients' rights to make their own decisions and stresses the nurse's role in facilitating informed consent through effective communication and education. Legislative changes, including the Patient Self-Determination Act of 1990, further reinforced the importance of patient autonomy by requiring healthcare facilities to inform patients of their rights to make decisions about their medical care and to create advance directives.

In summary, the historical context of patient autonomy in nursing reflects a shift from paternalism to a more collaborative approach that values patient involvement in decision-making. Significant legal cases, ethical frameworks, and legislative changes have collectively shaped the current practices of informed consent, emphasizing the essential role of nurses in upholding patient autonomy in today's healthcare landscape. This evolution highlights the ongoing need for healthcare professionals to advocate for patient rights and ensure that care is centered around the values and preferences of those they serve.

## **ETHICAL THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS INFLUENCING PATIENT AUTONOMY AND INFORMED CONSENT IN NURSING PRACTICE**

Patient autonomy and informed consent are guided by several ethical theories that shape nursing practice and influence how healthcare providers interact with patients. One of the predominant frameworks is **principlism**, which is rooted in four core ethical principles: autonomy, beneficence, nonmaleficence, and justice. This approach emphasizes the importance of respecting a patient's right to make their own decisions while balancing the need to promote their well-being (beneficence) and prevent harm (nonmaleficence). In nursing practice, principlism encourages nurses to facilitate informed consent by providing patients with all necessary information, thus empowering them to make choices that align with their values and preferences.

Another significant ethical theory is **deontology**, which focuses on the morality of actions based on adherence to rules or duties. From a deontological perspective, healthcare providers have a moral obligation to respect patient autonomy and ensure informed consent is obtained. This means that nurses must prioritize their duty to provide accurate information and uphold ethical standards, regardless of the potential outcomes. In practice, this translates to nurses being diligent in communicating risks, benefits, and alternatives, even when it may complicate or delay the decision-making process.

**Utilitarianism**, on the other hand, is an ethical theory that evaluates the morality of actions based on their outcomes or consequences, specifically aiming to achieve the greatest good for the greatest number. While this approach can promote efficient and effective care, it also raises important questions about individual rights and the potential for compromising patient autonomy in favor of collective well-being. In nursing, utilitarian principles might lead to difficult decisions when resources are limited, prompting nurses to weigh the benefits of certain treatments against the needs of the larger patient population.

By integrating these ethical frameworks into nursing practice, healthcare providers can better navigate the complexities of patient interactions, ensuring that autonomy and informed consent are upheld while also considering the ethical implications of their actions. Each theory contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the responsibilities of nurses in respecting patient autonomy and fostering collaborative decision-making. Ultimately, a multifaceted approach that considers principlism, deontology, and utilitarianism can enhance the quality of care and promote ethical practices in nursing, ensuring that patient choices are respected and supported.

## **BARRIERS TO EFFECTIVE INFORMED CONSENT IN NURSING PRACTICE**

Informed consent is a cornerstone of ethical nursing practice, yet nurses frequently encounter various barriers that hinder their ability to obtain it effectively. One of the most significant challenges is **time constraints**, as nurses often operate in high-pressure environments with heavy workloads. The urgency of clinical demands can lead to rushed conversations with patients, compromising the quality of the informed consent process. When time is limited, nurses may struggle to provide comprehensive information about treatment options, risks, and benefits, ultimately preventing patients from making fully informed decisions.

Another critical barrier is the **lack of training** in communication and informed consent processes. Many nursing curricula may not adequately prepare nurses to engage in meaningful discussions about informed consent, leaving them uncertain about how to approach this crucial aspect of patient care. Without sufficient training, nurses might find it challenging to effectively communicate complex medical information in an understandable manner, which can lead to misunderstandings and insufficient patient comprehension.

**Cultural differences** also play a significant role in the informed consent process. Patients from diverse backgrounds may have varying beliefs and practices regarding healthcare decisions, which can impact their understanding and acceptance of informed consent. For instance, some cultures prioritize familial involvement in medical decisions over individual choice, potentially complicating the process of obtaining consent. Nurses must be culturally competent and sensitive to these differences, as failing to do so can create barriers to effective communication and patient engagement.

**Patient health literacy** is a crucial factor that can impede the informed consent process. Many patients may struggle to comprehend medical terminology, treatment options, and potential risks due to low health literacy levels. This lack of understanding can result in patients feeling overwhelmed or confused, making it difficult for them to actively participate in the decision-making process. Nurses must assess the health literacy of their patients and adapt their communication strategies accordingly, using plain language and visual aids when necessary to ensure that patients fully understand the information presented.

## **FACILITATORS OF PATIENT AUTONOMY AND INFORMED CONSENT**

Promoting patient autonomy and ensuring effective informed consent are essential components of quality nursing care. Various strategies and best practices can facilitate these objectives, empowering patients to take an active role in their healthcare decisions. One of the most critical elements in fostering patient autonomy is **effective communication**. Nurses must cultivate an open, respectful, and empathetic dialogue with patients, actively listening to their concerns and preferences. This communication involves not only conveying medical information clearly and accurately but also encouraging patients to ask questions and express their values and priorities regarding treatment options. By creating an environment where patients feel heard and understood, nurses can help facilitate a more collaborative approach to care.

**Patient education** is another vital strategy in promoting autonomy and informed consent. Nurses should provide comprehensive, tailored information about diagnoses, treatment options, potential risks, and benefits in a manner that aligns with the patient's level of understanding. Utilizing plain language, visual aids, and teach-back methods can enhance comprehension and retention of information. By equipping patients with the knowledge they need, nurses enable them to make informed decisions that reflect their preferences and goals.

Incorporating **shared decision-making** models into nursing practice is a powerful approach to enhancing patient autonomy. Shared decision-making involves collaboration between healthcare providers and patients in the decision-making process, recognizing the patient as an equal partner in their care. This model emphasizes the importance of discussing various treatment options and their implications, allowing patients to weigh the potential benefits and risks based on their values and circumstances. Training nurses in shared decision-making techniques can help foster a culture of patient-centered care, where patients feel empowered to engage actively in their treatment choices.

## **IMPACT OF PATIENT AUTONOMY ON HEALTH OUTCOMES**

Research has consistently shown that patient autonomy and informed consent are closely linked to various positive health outcomes, significantly impacting patient satisfaction, adherence to treatment,



and overall health. Studies indicate that when patients are actively involved in their healthcare decisions, they report higher levels of satisfaction with their care. This satisfaction stems from a sense of control and ownership over their treatment choices, as patients feel that their preferences and values are respected by healthcare providers. For instance, a meta-analysis of patient satisfaction surveys revealed that patients who participated in shared decision-making processes were more likely to express satisfaction with their healthcare experiences compared to those who were passive recipients of care.

Moreover, the connection between patient autonomy and **adherence to treatment** is substantial. Research has shown that patients who are well-informed and actively involved in their treatment decisions are more likely to adhere to prescribed therapies and follow medical advice. This increased adherence can be attributed to several factors, including a better understanding of their health conditions, enhanced motivation to follow through with treatment plans, and a stronger commitment to achieving their health goals. For example, a study published in the *Journal of Health Communication* found that patients who felt their autonomy was respected were significantly more likely to adhere to their medication regimens and attend follow-up appointments.

The psychological and emotional aspects of respecting patient choices are also significant. When healthcare providers honor patients' autonomy, it promotes a sense of dignity and self-worth, which can enhance their emotional well-being. Patients who feel that their voices are heard and their choices are validated experience lower levels of anxiety and distress, contributing to a more positive healthcare experience. Furthermore, respecting patient autonomy can foster trust in healthcare providers, which is essential for building strong therapeutic relationships and improving overall patient satisfaction.

## **CULTURAL COMPETENCE AND PATIENT AUTONOMY**

Cultural beliefs and values significantly influence patient autonomy and the informed consent process, shaping how individuals perceive healthcare, make decisions, and engage with healthcare providers. Different cultures have distinct views on health, illness, and the role of the patient in medical decision-making. For instance, in some cultures, collective decision-making involving family members is prioritized over individual autonomy. In such contexts, patients may expect healthcare providers to engage not just with them but also with their families when discussing treatment options and obtaining consent. This can complicate the informed consent process, as nurses must navigate these cultural dynamics while ensuring that patients feel respected and valued.

Moreover, cultural factors can affect how patients understand and process information regarding their medical conditions and treatment options. Some patients may have limited health literacy or may be unfamiliar with Western medical practices, which can hinder their ability to provide informed consent. For example, a patient from a culture that relies heavily on traditional healing practices may be skeptical of conventional medical interventions, potentially leading to misunderstandings or reluctance to

participate in the informed consent process. Nurses must recognize these cultural differences and adapt their communication strategies accordingly, using culturally relevant language and approaches to bridge any gaps in understanding.

Culturally sensitive practices in nursing are essential to respect diverse patient choices and promote patient autonomy effectively. This involves not only acknowledging and valuing patients' cultural backgrounds but also actively incorporating their beliefs and preferences into the care process. Culturally competent nursing practice includes taking the time to learn about patients' cultural contexts, asking open-ended questions about their beliefs and values, and being willing to adjust care plans to align with their preferences. This approach fosters trust and rapport, enabling patients to feel more comfortable expressing their needs and desires regarding their healthcare.

### **FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN RESEARCH AND PRACTICE**

As the field of nursing continues to evolve, addressing patient autonomy and informed consent remains a critical area for future research and practice. Several gaps in the current literature warrant further exploration to enhance understanding and implementation of these principles in diverse healthcare settings. One significant area for future research is the **impact of digital health technologies** on informed consent processes. With the increasing use of telehealth, electronic health records, and patient portals, it is essential to examine how these technologies influence patient engagement, comprehension, and autonomy. Studies could focus on the effectiveness of digital tools in facilitating informed consent and ensuring that patients are adequately informed about their treatment options in virtual settings.

Another important research area is the exploration of **cultural competence in informed consent** practices across various populations. While there is some literature on cultural factors influencing patient autonomy, more empirical studies are needed to understand how healthcare providers can effectively engage with patients from diverse backgrounds in the informed consent process. Research could investigate the effectiveness of culturally tailored communication strategies and training programs in improving patient understanding and participation.

Examining the **long-term outcomes of patient-centered care** approaches that prioritize autonomy and informed consent would be valuable. Future studies could assess how these practices affect patient satisfaction, adherence, and overall health outcomes over time, providing a more comprehensive understanding of the benefits of promoting autonomy in nursing practice.

In terms of potential innovations and policies, healthcare organizations can implement **standardized training programs** focused on enhancing cultural competence and communication skills among nursing staff. Such training can ensure that nurses are well-equipped to address the diverse needs of patients, facilitating a more inclusive and effective informed consent process. Furthermore, integrating **shared**



**decision-making models** into routine practice can empower patients to be more active participants in their care, promoting a collaborative environment that respects their autonomy.

Policymakers should consider developing guidelines and frameworks that emphasize the importance of patient autonomy and informed consent in healthcare regulations. This could include mandates for healthcare institutions to establish protocols that prioritize informed consent discussions, ensuring that patients receive comprehensive information in an accessible format.

Moreover, fostering a **multidisciplinary approach** to patient care can enhance the informed consent process by encouraging collaboration among healthcare providers, patients, and families. This approach ensures that all stakeholders are involved in decision-making, which can lead to more holistic and patient-centered care.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the systematic review "Respecting Patient Choice: A Systematic Review of Patient Autonomy and Informed Consent in Nursing" underscores the fundamental importance of patient autonomy and informed consent as cornerstones of ethical nursing practice. The review highlights that fostering patient autonomy not only enhances patient satisfaction but also promotes adherence to treatment plans and overall health outcomes. By synthesizing existing literature, the review reveals that effective communication, cultural competence, and shared decision-making are critical strategies that nurses can employ to facilitate informed consent and empower patients in their healthcare journeys. Despite the recognized significance of these principles, various barriers persist, including time constraints, lack of training, and cultural differences, which can hinder the informed consent process. As the healthcare landscape continues to evolve, the need for innovative approaches and policies that support patient autonomy becomes increasingly imperative. The review calls for further research to explore the impact of emerging technologies, the role of cultural sensitivity, and the long-term outcomes of patient-centered care models. By addressing these areas, the nursing profession can enhance its commitment to respecting patient choices, ultimately leading to improved quality of care and fostering a more collaborative healthcare environment. This commitment not only honors the ethical principles of autonomy and informed consent but also aligns with the growing recognition of the importance of patient-centered care in today's complex healthcare systems. Emphasizing respect for patient autonomy is not merely a legal obligation but a moral imperative that reinforces the dignity and individuality of each patient, ultimately contributing to better health outcomes and a more humane healthcare system.

## REFERANCE

1. Colburn B, Johnston B. Palliative sedation: autonomy, suffering, and euthanasia. *Curr Opin Support Palliat Care*. 2023 Sep 1;17(3):214-218. doi: 10.1097/SPC.0000000000000665. Epub 2023 Jul 10. PMID: 37428181.
2. Ulrich CM, Taylor C, Soeken K, O'Donnell P, Farrar A, Danis M, Grady C. Everyday ethics: ethical issues and stress in nursing practice. *J Adv Nurs*. 2010 Nov;66(11):2510-9. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2648.2010.05425.x. Epub 2010 Aug 23. PMID: 20735502; PMCID: PMC3865804.
3. Altman MR, Oseguera T, McLemore MR, Kantrowitz-Gordon I, Franck LS, Lyndon A. Information and power: Women of color's experiences interacting with health care providers in pregnancy and birth. *Soc Sci Med*. 2019 Oct;238:112491. doi: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112491. Epub 2019 Aug 12. PMID: 31434029.
4. Hedman M, Häggström E, Mamhidir AG, Pöder U. Caring in nursing homes to promote autonomy and participation. *Nurs Ethics*. 2019 Feb;26(1):280-292. doi: 10.1177/0969733017703698. Epub 2017 Apr 20. PMID: 28425315.
5. Gebrehiwot H, Estifanos N, Zenebe Y, Anbesaw T. Patient Perception of Informed Consent and Its Associated Factors among Surgical Patients Attending Public Hospitals in Dessie City Administration, Northeast Ethiopia. *Crit Care Res Pract*. 2022 Jul 1;2022:6269921. doi: 10.1155/2022/6269921. PMID: 35813590; PMCID: PMC9270118.
6. Scott PA, Välimäki M, Leino-Kilpi H, Dassen T, Gasull M, Lemonidou C, Arndt M. Autonomy, privacy and informed consent 1: concepts and definitions. *Br J Nurs*. 2003 Jan 9-22;12(1):43-7. doi: 10.12968/bjon.2003.12.1.10999. PMID: 12574725.
7. Steevenson G. Informed consent. *J Perioper Pract*. 2006 Aug;16(8):384-8. doi: 10.1177/175045890601600804. PMID: 16939165.
8. Paudel B, Shrestha GK. Perception on Informed Consent Regarding Nursing Care Practices in a Tertiary Care Center. *Kathmandu Univ Med J (KUMJ)*. 2016 Oct.-Dec.;14(56):328-331. PMID: 29336420.
9. Kingma E. Harming one to benefit another: The paradox of autonomy and consent in maternity care. *Bioethics*. 2021 Jun;35(5):456-464. doi: 10.1111/bioe.12852. Epub 2021 Apr 9. PMID: 33835517.
10. Nandra R, Brockie AF, Hussain F. A review of informed consent and how it has evolved to protect vulnerable participants in emergency care research. *EFORT Open Rev*. 2020 Feb 26;5(2):73-79. doi: 10.1302/2058-5241.5.180051. PMID: 32175093; PMCID: PMC7047905.
11. Kennedy W. Beneficence and autonomy in nursing. A moral dilemma. *Br J Perioper Nurs*. 2004 Nov;14(11):500-6. doi: 10.1177/175045890401401103. PMID: 15622993.

12. Barnes DM, Davis AJ, Moran T, Portillo CJ, Koenig BA. Informed consent in a multicultural cancer patient population: implications for nursing practice. *Nurs Ethics*. 1998 Sep;5(5):412-23. doi: 10.1177/096973309800500505. PMID: 9782926.
13. Cheng CT, Lin CC. [The Use of Informed Consent in Clinical Nursing Practice]. *Hu Li Za Zhi*. 2017 Feb;64(1):98-104. Chinese. doi: 10.6224/JN.64.1.98. PMID: 28150264.
14. Cook WE. "Sign Here": Nursing Value and the Process of Informed Consent. *Plast Surg Nurs*. 2016 Oct/Dec;36(4):182-186. doi: 10.1097/PSN.000000000000168. PMID: 27922563.
15. Aderibigbe KS, Chima SC. Knowledge and practice of informed consent by physiotherapists and therapy assistants in KwaZulu-Natal Province, South Africa. *S Afr J Physiother*. 2019 Aug 12;75(1):1330. doi: 10.4102/sajp.v75i1.1330. PMID: 31535054; PMCID: PMC6739519.
16. McParland J, Scott PA, Arndt M, Dassen T, Gasull M, Lemonidou C, Valimaki M, Leino-Kilpi H. Autonomy and clinical practice. 3: Issues of patient consent. *Br J Nurs*. 2000 May 25-Jun 7;9(10):660-5. doi: 10.12968/bjon.2000.9.10.6280. PMID: 11235277.
17. Jørgensen L, Kollerup MG. Ethical dilemmas in nursing documentation. *Nurs Ethics*. 2022 Mar;29(2):485-497. doi: 10.1177/09697330211046654. Epub 2021 Dec 5. PMID: 34866491.
18. Carson-Stevens A, Davies MM, Jones R, Chik AD, Robbé IJ, Fiander AN. Framing patient consent for student involvement in pelvic examination: a dual model of autonomy. *J Med Ethics*. 2013 Nov;39(11):676-80. doi: 10.1136/medethics-2012-100809. Epub 2013 Jan 15. PMID: 23322682.
19. Dowie I. Understanding the legal considerations of consent in nursing practice. *Nurs Stand*. 2021 Dec 1;36(12):29-34. doi: 10.7748/ns.2021.e11828. Epub 2021 Nov 22. PMID: 34806350.
20. McParland J, Scott PA, Arndt M, Dassen T, Gasull M, Lemonidou C, Valimaki M, Leino-Kilpi H. Autonomy and clinical practice. 2: Patient privacy and nursing practice. *Br J Nurs*. 2000 May 11-24;9(9):566-9. doi: 10.12968/bjon.2000.9.9.6293. PMID: 11904892.
21. Cook WE. "Sign here": nursing value and the process of informed consent. *Plast Surg Nurs*. 2014 Jan-Mar;34(1):29-33. doi: 10.1097/PSN.0000000000000030. PMID: 24583665.
22. Wiens AG. Patient autonomy in care: a theoretical framework for nursing. *J Prof Nurs*. 1993 Mar-Apr;9(2):95-103. doi: 10.1016/8755-7223(93)90025-8. PMID: 8505441.
23. Mayo AM, Wallhagen MI. Considerations of informed consent and decision-making competence in older adults with cognitive impairment. *Res Gerontol Nurs*. 2009 Apr;2(2):103-11. doi: 10.3928/19404921-20090401-08. PMID: 20077971.
24. Hammer MJ. Informed Consent in the Changing Landscape of Research. *Oncol Nurs Forum*. 2016 Sep 1;43(5):558-60. doi: 10.1188/16.ONF.558-560. PMID: 27541548.
25. Murphy M, McCaughan E, Thompson G, Carson MA, Hanna JR, Donovan M, Wilson RH, Fitzsimons D. Trusting relationships between patients with non-curative cancer and healthcare professionals create

- ethical obstacles for informed consent in clinical trials: a grounded theory study. *BMC Palliat Care*. 2023 Jul 1;22(1):85. doi: 10.1186/s12904-023-01204-6. PMID: 37393250; PMCID: PMC10315048.
26. Buehler DA. Informed consent and the elderly. An ethical challenge for critical care nursing. *Crit Care Nurs Clin North Am*. 1990 Sep;2(3):461-71. PMID: 2264971.
27. Usher KJ, Arthur D. Process consent: a model for enhancing informed consent in mental health nursing. *J Adv Nurs*. 1998 Apr;27(4):692-7. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2648.1998.00589.x. PMID: 9578197.
28. Hagopian COP. From informed to empowered consent. *Nurs Philos*. 2024 Jan;25(1):e12475. doi: 10.1111/nup.12475. PMID: 38284806.
29. Gabay G, Bokek-Cohen Y. Infringement of the right to surgical informed consent: negligent disclosure and its impact on patient trust in surgeons at public general hospitals - the voice of the patient. *BMC Med Ethics*. 2019 Oct 28;20(1):77. doi: 10.1186/s12910-019-0407-5. PMID: 31660956; PMCID: PMC6819415.
30. Jacobs G. Patient autonomy in home care: Nurses' relational practices of responsibility. *Nurs Ethics*. 2019 Sep;26(6):1638-1653. doi: 10.1177/0969733018772070. Epub 2018 May 7. PMID: 29734887.
31. Bremer A, Holmberg M. Ethical conflicts in patient relationships: Experiences of ambulance nursing students. *Nurs Ethics*. 2020 Jun;27(4):946-959. doi: 10.1177/0969733020911077. Epub 2020 Apr 7. PMID: 32253975; PMCID: PMC7323741.