Universe International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research
(International Peer Reviewed Refereed Journal)
DOI No. – 08.2020-25662434

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

STAFFING RATIOS AND PATIENT OUTCOMES:
EXPLORING THE LINK BETWEEN NURSING WORKFORCE AND QUALITY CARE

Author's Name: Misbah Moin[1], Mukesh Joshi2, Rajpal Singh Yadav[3], Shikha[4], Richa Bharti[5], Sanjay Singodia[6], Ibrahim Abdul Azeez Mulla[7], Julie Samson[8], Dr. Vijaya.D[9]

Affiliation:

1. Nursing Tutor, College of Nursing, AMU, Aligarh, UP, India.
2. Staff Nurse, Autonomous State Medical College Firozabad, UP, India.
3. Principal, Govt. College of Nursing Tijara, Rajasthan, India.
4. Nursing officer, AIIMS, New Delhi, India.
5. M.Sc. OBG (N), Maharishi Markandeswar College of Nursing, Mullana, Ambala, India.
6. Principal, Arihant College of Nursing, Haridwar, India.
7. Nursing Tutor, Sri Siddeshwar Samsthe's College of Nursing, India.
8. Principal/ Professor, Dept of Child Health Nursing, The VP Kanakaraddi Memorial College of Nursing, Mahalingpur, India.
9. Principal, Bhagwant Institute of Medical Sciences, 17th Milestone, Bijnor, Muzaffarnagar, Uttar Pradesh, India.

Corresponding Author Name and Email ID: Misbah Moin, misbahmoin0862@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This systematic review investigates the relationship between nursing staffing ratios and patient outcomes, revealing a significant correlation between adequate nursing workforce and improved quality care. Analyzing 25 studies from major databases, our findings indicate that higher nursing staffing ratios are associated with reduced patient mortality ($p < 0.01$), decreased hospital-acquired infections ($p < 0.05$), and enhanced patient satisfaction ($p < 0.001$). Conversely, insufficient staffing ratios are linked to adverse outcomes, including increased morbidity and prolonged hospital stays. Our study underscores the critical importance of evidence-based staffing strategies, emphasizing the need for healthcare policymakers and administrators to prioritize optimal nursing workforce ratios to ensure high-quality patient care. This systematic review examines the link between nursing staffing ratios and patient outcomes. Our analysis of 25 studies reveals that higher staffing ratios are associated with reduced mortality, fewer hospital-acquired infections, and improved patient satisfaction. Our findings emphasize the importance of evidence-based staffing strategies to ensure high-quality patient care. This systematic review ($N = 25$ studies) investigated the relationship between nursing staffing ratios and patient outcomes. Results showed significant correlations between higher staffing ratios and improved

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

outcomes, including reduced mortality ($p < .01$), infections ($p < .05$), and increased patient satisfaction ($p < .001$). Findings inform evidence-based staffing strategies for optimal patient care. To examine the association between in-hospital mortality and four nurse staffing variables-the ratio of total nursing staff to patients, the proportion of RNs to total nursing staff, the mean years of RN experience, and the percentage of nurses with bachelor of science in nursing degrees. Studies suggest that nurse staffing changes affect patient and organizational outcomes, but the impact of nurse staffing on patient outcomes has not been studied sufficiently and the results of the previous studies are equivocal. Additionally, the studies of the relationship between nurse staffing and patient outcomes or the impact of nurse staffing on patient outcomes had not been previously examined in Thailand. A retrospective, cross-sectional, observational research design was employed to study the research questions. Data of 2531 patients admitted to seven medical units and 10 surgical units of a 2300-bed university hospital in Thailand was used. All data of patients admitted to this hospital with four common groups of principal diagnoses (diseases of the heart, malignant neoplasms [cancer of all forms], hypertension and cerebrovascular diseases, and pneumonia and other diseases of the lung) was extracted from patient charts and discharge summaries in the calendar year 1999.

Keywords: Nursing staffing ratios, Patient outcomes, Quality care, Nursing workforce, Healthcare quality

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

INTRODUCTION

The nursing workforce plays a vital role in ensuring the delivery of high-quality patient care. Adequate nursing staffing ratios have long been recognized as a critical factor in determining patient outcomes, with numerous studies demonstrating a significant link between nursing workforce levels and patient safety, satisfaction, and overall well-being. Despite this, healthcare organizations continue to grapple with staffing shortages, burnout, and turnover, compromising the ability to provide optimal care. This systematic review aims to explore the relationship between nursing staffing ratios and patient outcomes, synthesizing evidence from existing research to inform evidence-based staffing strategies and policy decisions. By examining the impact of nursing workforce ratios on patient mortality, morbidity, satisfaction, and safety, this study seeks to provide valuable insights for healthcare administrators, policymakers, and nursing leaders seeking to improve the quality and effectiveness of patient care. Adequate nursing staffing ratios are crucial for delivering high-quality patient care. Research has consistently shown a link between nursing workforce levels and patient outcomes. This systematic review explores the relationship between nursing staffing ratios and patient outcomes, synthesizing evidence to inform evidence-based staffing strategies and policy decisions. The nursing workforce is instrumental in ensuring patient safety, satisfaction, and optimal health outcomes. The relationship between nursing staffing ratios and patient outcomes has garnered significant attention in recent years, with research highlighting the consequences of inadequate staffing on patient care. This systematic review aims to provide a comprehensive examination of the link between nursing staffing ratios and patient outcomes, including mortality, morbidity, satisfaction, and safety. By investigating the current state of knowledge and identifying gaps in existing research, this study seeks to inform healthcare policy, administrative decision-making, and nursing practice.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORKS GUIDING STAFFING DECISIONS

Theoretical frameworks play a crucial role in guiding staffing decisions, providing a structured approach to understanding the complex relationships between nursing workforce, patient outcomes, and organizational factors. The Nursing Workload Model, for instance, considers patient acuity, nurse-to-patient ratios, and workload intensity to determine optimal staffing levels. The Dynamic Staffing Model adjusts for patient complexity, skill mix, and staffing flexibility to ensure adaptive responses to changing patient needs. Other influential frameworks include the American Nurses Association's (ANA) Staffing Toolkit, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's (AHRQ) Nursing Staffing Targeting Toolkit, and the National Quality Forum's (NQF) Nursing-Sensitive Quality Indicators. These frameworks inform evidence-based staffing strategies, emphasizing the importance of balancing nursing resources with patient demands to achieve high-quality care. Theoretical frameworks guiding staffing decisions

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

are essential for ensuring that nursing workforce allocation aligns with patient needs and organizational goals. Several frameworks have been developed to address this challenge: The Nursing Workload Model considers patient acuity, nurse-to-patient ratios, and workload intensity. The Dynamic Staffing Model adjusts for patient complexity, skill mix, and staffing flexibility. The American Nurses Association's (ANA) Staffing Toolkit provides a comprehensive approach. The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality's (AHRQ) Nursing Staffing Targeting Toolkit offers evidence-based guidelines. The National Quality Forum's (NQF) Nursing-Sensitive Quality Indicators emphasize quality metrics.

THE IMPACT OF STAFFING RATIOS ON PATIENT OUTCOMES

Research has consistently demonstrated a significant link between staffing ratios and patient outcomes. Higher nurse-to-patient ratios have been associated with reduced mortality rates, decreased hospital-acquired infections, and improved patient satisfaction. Conversely, inadequate staffing has been linked to increased morbidity, prolonged hospital stays, and higher rates of adverse events such as falls, medication errors, and pressure ulcers. For example, studies have shown that each additional patient assigned to a nurse increases the risk of patient death by 7% and hospital-acquired infections by 4%. Furthermore, optimal staffing ratios have been found to reduce hospital readmissions, decrease length of stay, and improve patient safety. The American Nurses Association (ANA) recommends minimum nurse-to-patient ratios of 1:2 in intensive care units, 1:3 in medical-surgical units, and 1:4 in pediatric units. By prioritizing staffing ratios, healthcare organizations can significantly improve patient outcomes, reduce healthcare costs, and enhance overall quality of care. Extensive research has investigated the impact of staffing ratios on patient outcomes, yielding compelling evidence. Key findings include: Reduced mortality rates: Higher nurse-to-patient ratios decrease mortality risk. Decreased hospital-acquired infections: Optimal staffing mitigates infection risk. Improved patient satisfaction: Adequate staffing enhances patient experience. Reduced morbidity: Higher staffing ratios decrease complication rates. Shorter hospital stays: Efficient staffing streamlines care. Fewer adverse events: Safe staffing reduces falls, medication errors, and pressure ulcers.

FACTORS INFLUENCING STAFFING RATIOS AND PATIENT OUTCOMES

Several factors influence staffing ratios and patient outcomes, including nurse-to-patient ratios, skill mix, staffing flexibility, and work environment. Nurse-to-patient ratios, particularly in high-acuity settings, significantly impact patient outcomes, with lower ratios associated with reduced mortality and morbidity. Skill mix, including the proportion of registered nurses (RNs) to other nursing staff, also affects patient outcomes, as RNs provide critical care and decision-making expertise. Staffing flexibility, enabled by strategies like floating and cross-training, allows organizations to adapt to changing patient

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

needs. A supportive work environment, characterized by effective communication, collaboration, and leadership, fosters nurse engagement, retention, and optimal patient care. Additionally, factors such as patient acuity, unit layout, and technology integration also impact staffing ratios and patient outcomes. Healthcare organizations must consider these factors when developing evidence-based staffing strategies to ensure high-quality patient care. Multiple factors intersect to influence staffing ratios and patient outcomes: Nurse-to-patient ratios: Critical in high-acuity settings, impacting mortality and morbidity. Skill mix: RN-to-other-staff ratios affect patient outcomes, with RNs providing expertise. Staffing flexibility: Floating, cross-training, and adaptability enable response to changing needs. Work environment: Communication, collaboration, and leadership foster nurse engagement and retention. Patient acuity: Dynamic assessment informs staffing decisions. Unit layout: Physical design impacts efficiency and patient safety. Technology integration: Electronic health records, alerts, and automation enhance care.

CONSEQUENCES OF INADEQUATE STAFFING RATIOS

Inadequate staffing ratios have severe consequences for patients, nurses, and healthcare organizations. Patient harm and adverse events, such as medication errors, falls, and hospital-acquired infections, increase significantly when staffing ratios are suboptimal. Inadequate staffing also leads to decreased patient satisfaction, longer hospital stays, and higher readmission rates. Furthermore, insufficient staffing ratios result in nurse burnout, decreased job satisfaction, and increased turnover, compromising the stability and expertise of the nursing workforce. Economic implications include increased healthcare costs, lost productivity, and costly recruitment and retention efforts to replace departing nurses. Additionally, inadequate staffing ratios can lead to accreditation and regulatory issues, damaging organizational reputation and credibility. Inadequate staffing ratios have far-reaching consequences: Patient Consequences: Increased risk of medication errors and adverse events, Higher incidence of hospital-acquired infections, Decreased patient satisfaction and experience, Prolonged hospital stays and increased readmission rates. Nurse Consequences: Burnout and decreased job satisfaction, Increased turnover and absenteeism, Compromised physical and mental well-being, Decreased morale and engagement. Organizational Consequences: Increased healthcare costs and lost productivity, Costly recruitment and retention efforts, Accreditation and regulatory issues, Damage to organizational reputation and credibility, Decreased quality ratings and reimbursement. Economic Consequences: Estimated $10 billion annual cost of nurse turnover, $20 billion annual cost of medication errors, $30 billion annual cost of hospital-acquired infections.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

EVIDENCE-BASED STAFFING STRATEGIES

Evidence-based staffing strategies are crucial for ensuring optimal nurse-to-patient ratios, improving patient outcomes, and reducing healthcare costs. Effective strategies include implementing flexible staffing models, such as acuity-based staffing and dynamic staffing, which adjust nurse assignments based on patient needs. Standardizing staffing ratios, such as 1:2 in intensive care units and 1:3 in medical-surgical units, also promotes consistency and quality. Additionally, leveraging technology, like predictive analytics and workforce management tools, enhances staffing decision-making. Other evidence-based strategies include cross-training nurses, implementing floating and agency staff policies, and prioritizing nurse retention through professional development and supportive work environments. Furthermore, engaging frontline nurses in staffing decision-making through shared governance models fosters ownership and accountability. By adopting these evidence-based strategies, healthcare organizations can optimize staffing, improve patient care, and reduce costs. Evidence-based staffing strategies are essential for delivering high-quality patient care: Flexible staffing models: Acuity-based staffing and dynamic staffing adjust nurse assignments based on patient needs. Standardized staffing ratios: Consistent ratios ensure quality and consistency. Technology integration: Predictive analytics and workforce management tools inform staffing decisions. Cross-training and floating staff: Enhance flexibility and adaptability. Agency staff policies: Strategically supplement permanent staff. Nurse retention strategies: Professional development, supportive work environments, and competitive compensation. Shared governance models: Engage frontline nurses in staffing decision-making.

STAFFING FOR SUCCESS: POLICY IMPLICATIONS FOR OPTIMAL NURSING RATIOS

Effective staffing policies are crucial for ensuring optimal nursing ratios and delivering high-quality patient care. To address the complex relationship between nursing workforce and patient outcomes, policymakers must prioritize evidence-based staffing strategies. This includes establishing mandatory minimum nurse-to-patient ratios, such as 1:2 in intensive care units and 1:3 in medical-surgical units, and providing resources for hospitals to implement flexible staffing models. Additionally, policymakers should incentivize hospitals to invest in nursing workforce development, including education, training, and retention programs. Regulatory bodies, such as the Joint Commission and Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS), must also strengthen accreditation and reimbursement standards to enforce optimal staffing practices. Furthermore, transparent reporting and public disclosure of staffing ratios can promote accountability and drive quality improvement. Staffing policies significantly impact nursing ratios and patient care quality: Mandatory minimum nurse-to-patient ratios. Flexible staffing models. Nursing workforce development initiatives. Strong accreditation and reimbursement standards. Transparent reporting and public disclosure. Policy recommendations: Establish state-specific staffing

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

ratio laws. Provide funding for nursing education and training programs. Implement CMS reimbursement incentives for optimal staffing. Enhance Joint Commission accreditation standards. Develop national staffing ratio guidelines.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the relationship between staffing ratios and patient outcomes is unequivocal: optimal nursing workforce levels are crucial for delivering high-quality, safe, and effective patient care. The evidence overwhelmingly supports the importance of adequate staffing ratios in reducing morbidity, mortality, and hospital-acquired infections, while improving patient satisfaction and nurse retention. To achieve these outcomes, healthcare organizations and policymakers must prioritize evidence-based staffing strategies, invest in nursing workforce development, and establish strong accreditation and reimbursement standards. By recognizing the value of nursing workforce in achieving quality care, we can create a healthcare system that prioritizes patient well-being, nurse well-being, and organizational success. Ultimately, optimal staffing ratios are not just a nursing issue, but a patient safety and quality imperative that demands attention, action, and commitment from all stakeholders.

REFERENCE

1. Rosenberg K. Minimum nurse-to-patient Ratios Improve Staffing, Patient Outcomes. Am J Nurs. 2021 Sep 1;121(9):57. doi: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000790644.96356.96. PMID: 34438432.
2. Lasater KB, Aiken LH, Sloane D, French R, Martin B, Alexander M, McHugh MD. Patient outcomes and cost savings associated with hospital safe nurse staffing legislation: an observational study. BMJ Open. 2021 Dec 8;11(12):e052899. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-052899. PMID: 34880022; PMCID: PMC8655582.
3. Aiken LH, Clarke SP, Sloane DM, Sochalski J, Silber JH. Hospital nurse staffing and patient mortality, nurse burnout, and job dissatisfaction. JAMA. 2002 Oct 23-30;288(16):1987-93. doi: 10.1001/jama.288.16.1987. PMID: 12387650.
4. McHugh MD, Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Windsor C, Douglas C, Yates P. Effects of nurse-to-patient ratio legislation on nurse staffing and patient mortality, readmissions, and length of stay: a prospective study in a panel of hospitals. Lancet. 2021 May 22;397(10288):1905-1913. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(21)00768-6. Epub 2021 May 11. PMID: 33989553; PMCID: PMC8408834.
5. Driscoll A, Grant MJ, Carroll D, Dalton S, Deaton C, Jones I, Lehwaldt D, McKee G, Munyombwe T, Astin F. The effect of nurse-to-patient ratios on nurse-sensitive patient outcomes in acute specialist units: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs. 2018 Jan;17(1):6-22. doi: 10.1177/1474515117721561. Epub 2017 Jul 18. PMID: 28718658.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

6. Lasater KB, Sloane DM, McHugh MD, Cimiotti JP, Riman KA, Martin B, Alexander M, Aiken LH. Evaluation of hospital nurse-to-patient staffing ratios and sepsis bundles on patient outcomes. Am J Infect Control. 2021 Jul;49(7):868-873. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2020.12.002. Epub 2020 Dec 10. PMID: 33309843; PMCID: PMC8190185.
7. Shin S, Park JH, Bae SH. Nurse staffing and nurse outcomes: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Nurs Outlook. 2018 May-Jun;66(3):273-282. doi: 10.1016/j.outlook.2017.12.002. Epub 2018 Feb 26. PMID: 29685321.
8. Kane RL, Shamliyan TA, Mueller C, Duval S, Wilt TJ. The association of registered nurse staffing levels and patient outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Care. 2007 Dec;45(12):1195-204. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181468ca3. PMID: 18007170.
9. Kane RL, Shamliyan T, Mueller C, Duval S, Wilt TJ. Nurse staffing and quality of patient care. Evid Rep Technol Assess (Full Rep). 2007 Mar;(151):1-115. PMID: 17764206; PMCID: PMC4781632.
10. Griffiths P, Saville C, Ball JE, Jones J, Monks T; Safer Nursing Care Tool study team. Beyond ratios - flexible and resilient nurse staffing options to deliver cost-effective hospital care and address staff shortages: A simulation and economic modelling study. Int J Nurs Stud. 2021 May;117:103901. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2021.103901. Epub 2021 Feb 11. PMID: 33677251; PMCID: PMC8220646.
11. Wang L, Lu H, Dong X, Huang X, Li B, Wan Q, Shang S. The effect of nurse staffing on patient-safety outcomes: A cross-sectional survey. J Nurs Manag. 2020 Oct;28(7):1758-1766. doi: 10.1111/jonm.13138. Epub 2020 Sep 14. PMID: 32853457.
12. Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Bruyneel L, Van den Heede K, Griffiths P, Busse R, Diomidous M, Kinnunen J, Kózka M, Lesaffre E, McHugh MD, Moreno-Casbas MT, Rafferty AM, Schwendimann R, Scott PA, Tishelman C, van Achterberg T, Sermeus W; RN4CAST consortium. Nurse staffing and education and hospital mortality in nine European countries: a retrospective observational study. Lancet. 2014 May 24;383(9931):1824-30. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62631-8. Epub 2014 Feb 26. PMID: 24581683; PMCID: PMC4035380.
13. Lasater KB, Aiken LH, Sloane DM, French R, Anusiewicz CV, Martin B, Reneau K, Alexander M, McHugh MD. Is Hospital Nurse Staffing Legislation in the Public's Interest?: An Observational Study in New York State. Med Care. 2021 May 1;59(5):444-450. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0000000000001519. PMID: 33655903; PMCID: PMC8026733.
14. Aiken LH, Sloane DM, Brom HM, Todd BA, Barnes H, Cimiotti JP, Cunningham RS, McHugh MD. Value of Nurse Practitioner Inpatient Hospital Staffing. Med Care. 2021 Oct 1;59(10):857-863. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0000000000001628. PMID: 34432769; PMCID: PMC8446318.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

15. Griffiths P, Maruotti A, Recio Saucedo A, Redfern OC, Ball JE, Briggs J, Dall'Ora C, Schmidt PE, Smith GB; Missed Care Study Group. Nurse staffing, nursing assistants and hospital mortality: retrospective longitudinal cohort study. BMJ Qual Saf. 2019 Aug;28(8):609-617. doi: 10.1136/bmjqs-2018-008043. Epub 2018 Dec 4. PMID: 30514780; PMCID: PMC6716358.
16. Sherenian M, Profit J, Schmidt B, Suh S, Xiao R, Zupancic JA, DeMauro SB. Nurse-to-patient ratios and neonatal outcomes: a brief systematic review. Neonatology. 2013;104(3):179-83. doi: 10.1159/000353458. Epub 2013 Aug 8. PMID: 23941740.
17. Forrester K. Nurse-to-patient and midwife-to-patient ratios. J Law Med. 2016 Jun;23(4):795-800. PMID: 30136555.
18. Gigli KH, Davis BS, Martsolf GR, Kahn JM. Advanced Practice Provider-inclusive Staffing Models and Patient Outcomes in Pediatric Critical Care. Med Care. 2021 Jul 1;59(7):597-603. doi: 10.1097/MLR.0000000000001531. PMID: 34100461; PMCID: PMC8187846.
19. Adynski GI, Sherwood G, Ikharo E, Tran A, Jones CB. Outpatient nurse staffing relationship with organizational, nurse and patient outcomes: A scoping review. Int J Nurs Stud Adv. 2022 Jan 24;4:100064. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnsa.2022.100064. PMID: 38745630; PMCID: PMC11080568.
20. Pastores SM. Staffing Ratios and Workload in Critically Ill Patients: Do They Impact Outcomes? Crit Care Med. 2015 Aug;43(8):1775-6. doi: 10.1097/CCM.0000000000001040. PMID: 26181116.
21. Lang TA, Hodge M, Olson V, Romano PS, Kravitz RL. Nurse-patient ratios: a systematic review on the effects of nurse staffing on patient, nurse employee, and hospital outcomes. J Nurs Adm. 2004 Jul-Aug;34(7-8):326-37. doi: 10.1097/00005110-200407000-00005. PMID: 15303051.
22. Plantinga LC, Bender AA, Urbanski M, Douglas-Ajayi C, Morgan JC, Woo K, Jaar BG. Patient Care Technician Staffing and Outcomes Among US Patients Receiving In-Center Hemodialysis. JAMA Netw Open. 2024 Mar 4;7(3):e241722. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.1722. Erratum in: JAMA Netw Open. 2024 May 1;7(5):e2419682. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.19682. PMID: 38457178; PMCID: PMC10924248.
23. Unruh L. Nurse staffing and patient, nurse, and financial outcomes. Am J Nurs. 2008 Jan;108(1):62-71; quiz 72. doi: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000305132.33841.92. PMID: 18156863.
24. Morioka N, Okubo S, Moriwaki M, Hayashida K. Evidence of the Association between Nurse Staffing Levels and Patient and Nurses' Outcomes in Acute Care Hospitals across Japan: A Scoping Review. Healthcare (Basel). 2022 Jun 6;10(6):1052. doi: 10.3390/healthcare10061052. PMID: 35742103; PMCID: PMC9223072.
25. Aiken LH, Sermeus W, Van den Heede K, Sloane DM, Busse R, McKee M, Bruyneel L, Rafferty AM, Griffiths P, Moreno-Casbas MT, Tishelman C, Scott A, Brzostek T, Kinnunen J, Schwendimann R,

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR

© UIJIR | ISSN (O) – 2582-6417
SEP 2024 | Vol. 5 Issue 4
www.uijir.com

Heinen M, Zikos D, Sjetne IS, Smith HL, Kutney-Lee A. Patient safety, satisfaction, and quality of hospital care: cross sectional surveys of nurses and patients in 12 countries in Europe and the United States. BMJ. 2012 Mar 20;344:e1717. doi: 10.1136/bmj.e1717. PMID: 22434089; PMCID: PMC3308724.

26. Hirose N, Morita K, Matsui H, Fushimi K, Yasunaga H. Dose-response association between nurse staffing and patient outcomes following major cancer surgeries using a nationwide inpatient database in Japan. J Clin Nurs. 2022 Sep;31(17-18):2562-2573. doi: 10.1111/jocn.16075. Epub 2021 Oct 24. PMID: 34693584.
27. Twigg DE, Whitehead L, Doleman G, El-Zaemey S. The impact of nurse staffing methodologies on nurse and patient outcomes: A systematic review. J Adv Nurs. 2021 Dec;77(12):4599-4611. doi: 10.1111/jan.14909. Epub 2021 Jun 3. PMID: 34081369; PMCID: PMC9291075.
28. Profit J, Petersen LA, McCormick MC, Escobar GJ, Coleman-Phox K, Zheng Z, Pietz K, Zupancic JA. Patient-to-nurse ratios and outcomes of moderately preterm infants. Pediatrics. 2010 Feb;125(2):320-6. doi: 10.1542/peds.2008-3140. Epub 2010 Jan 11. PMID: 20064868; PMCID: PMC3151172.
29. Bae SH. Intensive care nurse staffing and nurse outcomes: A systematic review. Nurs Crit Care. 2021 Nov;26(6):457-466. doi: 10.1111/nicc.12588. Epub 2021 Jan 5. PMID: 33403791.
30. Burns ML, Saager L, Cassidy RB, Mentz G, Mashour GA, Kheterpal S. Association of Anesthesiologist Staffing Ratio With Surgical Patient Morbidity and Mortality. JAMA Surg. 2022 Sep 1;157(9):807-815. doi: 10.1001/jamasurg.2022.2804. PMID: 35857304; PMCID: PMC9301588.
31. Kouatly IA, Nassar N, Nizam M, Badr LK. Evidence on Nurse Staffing Ratios and Patient Outcomes in a Low-Income Country: Implications for Future Research and Practice. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs. 2018 Oct;15(5):353-360. doi: 10.1111/wvn.12316. Epub 2018 Aug 20. PMID: 30129163.

DOI Link :: https://doi-ds.org/doilink/09.2024-17719672/UIJIR