

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN HEALTHCARE: ETHICAL AND LEGAL CONFLICTS

Author's Name: Prof. D. Bhuvaneshwari¹, Dr. Vimlesh Vyas², Ms. Rupali Bhausahab Chavan³, Prof. Punithalakshmi. K⁴, Deepika Sharma⁵, Mrs. Esther Lejomon⁶

Affiliation:

1. Principal, Rathnamma College of Nursing, Gudur, AP, India. bhuva83@gmail.com
2. Vice Principal, Patidar Nursing Institute, Ujjain, Madhya Pradesh, India. vimlesh.vyas763@gmail.com
3. Professor, SMBT Institute of Nursing, Nashik, Maharashtra, India. chaugulerupali@gmail.com
4. Professor cum Principal, JIET College of Nursing, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India. puni_phoenix@yahoo.com
5. Associate Professor, Maharana Pratap College of Nursing and Paramedical Sciences Mandhana Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India. depika.garg@gmail.com
6. Associate Professor, Shri Maruthi Group of Institute, Bangalore, Karnataka, India. shinekc84@gmail.com

Corresponding Author Name & E-Mail: Prof. D. Bhuvaneshwari, bhuva83@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Privacy and surveillance, bias or discrimination, as well as the potential philosophical conundrum of the function of human judgment, are among the legal and ethical problems that artificial intelligence (AI) has brought to society. Worries regarding more modern computer technology data breaches and additional sources of inaccuracy have resulted from its use. Healthcare technique or protocol errors can have disastrous effects. Repercussions for the patient who is the mistake's victim. Due to patients' coming bringing patients in touch with doctors at times when they are most susceptible is important to keep in mind. There are currently no clear restrictions in place to discuss the moral and legal concerns that using artificial intelligence may bring up.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Informed Consent, Legal, Ethical

INTRODUCTION

Constraints on resources, chronic disease, and rising patient demand medical systems. While the use of digital health technologies is expanding, data has expanded across all healthcare settings at the same time. When correctly tethered, healthcare professionals might concentrate on the underlying causes of sickness and monitor the success of treatments and preventative actions. As a result, decision-makers, lawmakers, and it should be understood by other decision-makers. In order for this to occur, a computer. Clinical entrepreneurs and data scientists contend that one of the most important factors is artificial intelligence (AI), particularly machine learning, will be a part of healthcare reform. In computers, the phrase "artificial intelligence" (AI) is used to signify the ability of a computer program to carry out activities related to human intelligence, including judgment and learning.

Additionally, it comprises procedures like adaptability, sensory comprehension, and communication. Traditional algorithms for computing, are simple software applications that expressly adhere to a set of rules and perform the same action repeatedly, say, using an electronic calculator: "If this is the output after this, which is the input. Alternatively, an AI through training data input, the system learns the rules (function) exposure. AI has the ability to revolutionize the healthcare industry through insights from the massive amount of digital data that are novel and crucial developed through the provision of healthcare. In most cases, AI is implemented as a system that combines both hardware and software. When it comes to software, AI is mostly worried about algorithms. An ANN is an artificial neural network. Is a theoretical foundation for creating AI algorithms.

It is a simulation of the human brain made up of a network of neurons connected by weighted communication pathways. AI employs a variety of methods to analyse large datasets for complex non-linear relationships. Machines acquire knowledge by training, which increases the confidence in prediction models by correcting small algorithmic flaws.

The application of new technology prompts worries about the potential for it to emerge as a new source of inaccuracy and data breaches. Mistakes can have serious repercussions for the patient who is the victim of the error in the high-risk field of healthcare. This is important to keep in mind since patients interact with clinicians during their most vulnerable moments.

This type of AI-clinician collaboration, in which AI is employed to give evidence-based management and provides medical decision-guides to the doctor (AI-Health). It can offer medical services in epidemiology, personalized care, medication development, and operating effectiveness.

AI AND HEALTHCARE

We already use digital technology on a daily basis. We order our items and plan our travels using cell phones. The digital dimension is constantly expanding, including in the sphere of health. Over the past few years, public and private sources have shown a growing interest in and investment in digital health initiatives. Digital health is used for monitoring, preventing, screening, diagnosing, and treating health-related disorders at the level of public and healthcare.

To improve health systems all across the world, digital health techniques are being adopted more and more. Health 4.0, or digital interventions for strengthening the health system, refers to this continuing digital transition in health and medical care. Highlighting the significance of adapting current practise and governance structures to meet the challenges posed by digital health, such as how data should be stored and accessed by whom, who is eligible to benefit from digital health and who is at risk of being left out, and what types of informed consent should be used. In light of this shift in the cultural landscape, it is crucial to carefully weigh the opportunities and difficulties from an ethical standpoint in order to build and outline a good and equitable strategy for digital health.

As a result, we will discuss these opportunities and difficulties from an ethical standpoint in the sections that follow, paying particular attention to the aspect of justice—a principle that has been called the foundation of public health. Justice covers "questions of responsibilities and obligations" and is strongly related to them. When weighing the advantages and dangers of population health measures. We define justice more specifically in accordance with just health and accountability for reasonableness. It promotes health and justice. He began crafting his argument more than 30 years ago, and it is today regarded as the "most well-known rationale" and a "seminal" argument work. It is regarded as a common strategy and one of the "key narratives (and vocabulary)" in public health ethics in terms of research and teaching.

Data show that in order to fulfil individual goals, justice entails social duties to uphold and restore health. Opportunities and practice personal independence. He makes clear that everybody should have equitable access to public healthcare and fair opportunity equality in society, which leads to health equity.

Additionally, it says that in order to guarantee validity and equity. His idea of responsibility for fairness proclaims that decisions on policy should be made openly, based on sound grounds and with the possibility of revision. Such a public health justice perspective on the effects—the digital health's opportunities and difficulties can reveal what is ethical issues, where

individuals engaged have obligations, and can direct and defend resulting policy decisions. Consequently, armed with this knowledge of a public health justice strategy, we talk about the ethical opportunities and problems that are emerging in digital health. Our analysis of these difficulties is based on a narrative. Review to have a wide perspective on current and important literature on (public) digital health. We highlight what moral we also discuss who needs instruction and who we approach current initiatives in law and practise to promote moral digital health. Data from electronic health records (EHRS) is used in health research based on ai is a significant area. Such details could be if the underlying information technology system is challenging to utilise and databases do not stop the dissemination of low-quality or heterogeneous information data.

However, ai in electronic health records can be applied to research, quality enhancement, and the improvement of clinical care. Ai that is properly constructed and taught with appropriate data can assist in uncovering clinical best practises from electronic health records before going along the normal road of scientific publication, guideline creation, and clinical support tools. Ai can help create new clinical practise models of healthcare delivery by analysing clinical practise trends discovered through electronic health data.

ETHICAL CHALLENGES

The range of ethical concerns in digital health is broad and multifaceted. Before accessing digital health technology, for example, it depends on the various phases of utilisation. There are both public and private, medical and non-medical, creating new objectives for governance frameworks, highlighting the necessity of reevaluating obligations. difficulties are connected to technical problems on the one hand, such as how to protect data (e.g., using firewalls and encrypted storage). On the on the other hand, they are connected to elements of general government. (For example, transparency and accountability).

In addition to these difficulties, which may even cause people to suffer medical, psychological, or social harm, there are also opportunities for integrating digital health to create more equitable healthcare systems. Following their appearance during the various phases of digital health utilisation, we will address these risks and problems (described in the literature). The question of whether AI "fits within existing legal categories or whether a new category with its special features and implications should develop" is one that is constantly being discussed. Although the use of AI in clinical settings holds great promise for enhancing healthcare, it also raises ethical concerns that we must now address. Four key ethical concerns must be resolved

in order for AI in healthcare to fully realise its potential: informed consent to use data, safety and transparency, algorithmic fairness and biases, and data privacy. Political controversy surrounds the legality of AI systems, in addition to being a legal one. The goal is to support policymakers in making sure that the morally challenging problems brought up by implementing AI in healthcare settings are dealt with swiftly. Most legal discussions on artificial intelligence have been influenced by the limits of algorithmic openness.

AI design and governance must now be more accountable, egalitarian, and transparent as AI is used more frequently in high-risk circumstances. The two most crucial components of transparency are information accessibility and understandability. The ability to learn about an algorithm's operation is frequently purposefully made difficult. Machines that can function by arbitrary rules and learn new behavioural patterns are supposedly a threat to our ability to assign blame to the maker or operator.

Alarm should be raised about the allegedly "ever-widening" division because it jeopardises "both the moral foundation of society and the foundation of the liability idea in law." We might not have anyone to hold responsible for any harm caused if AI is used. The degree of the risk is unknown, and the employment of robots will drastically restrict our capacity to place responsibility for our actions and assign blame.

An Artificial Intelligent System (AIS)'s output can be concealed by modern computing techniques, making meaningful analysis difficult. The method used by an AIS to produce its outputs is thus "opaque." A process utilised by an AIS may be so complex that it is effectively hidden from a clinical user who is not technically trained while still being easy to grasp for a techie knowledgeable in that field of computer science. AISs are designed to support clinical users and hence directly affect clinical decision-making. An example of this is IBM's Watson for oncology.

The AIS would then assess the data and suggest a course of treatment for the patient. Future clinical decision-making may be altered by the use of AI, which, if implemented, could also lead to new stakeholder dynamics. If implemented, the possibility of using AIS to assist physicians might revolutionise clinical decision-making and establish a new paradigm in healthcare. Clinicians (including physicians, nurses, and other medical personnel) have an interest in the secure introduction of new technologies.

The range of newly developed ML-HCAs in terms of what they might be made of, what they might be used for, and where is fairly broad and could be used. The range of ML-HCAs is from fully independent artificial intelligence forecast for diabetic retinopathy in primary care

settings, non-autonomous death predictions, and manual resource allocation and coverage. Investigators should Describe how those outcomes can be used in the research by doing the following: together with projections. This knowledge is necessary for setting up the cost of conducting a scientific trial and directing scientific inquiry. AI used in healthcare must adapt to a continuously changing environment that is constantly changing with interruptions, while upholding moral standards to protect the welfare of the patients.

However, the ability to examine the software and identify potential points of failure depends on a simple, essential element of determining the security of any healthcare software. For instance, the technique for software programmes is akin to the additives and physiological mechanisms of drugs or mechanical equipment. The workings of MLHCAs, on the other hand, may be hidden from patients, doctors, or evaluators, creating a "black box" problem. Along with forecasts, researchers should explain how those outputs might be incorporated into their work. This data informs scientific research and aids in determining the trial's cost.

CONCLUSION

Through increased health coverage, the dissemination of health information, and increased health literacy, digital health technologies present chances to transform healthcare systems. Additionally, efficiency can possibly be improved while healthcare expenses can possibly be decreased. However, digital health technologies also spark issues with relation to digital illiteracy, leading to unequal access and informed consent that must be addressed. Therefore, it is essential for all parties involved, especially digital health providers, to make sure that digital health interventions are designed and implemented in a morally and fairly, promoting equity in access and fair equality of opportunity for all population groups while also taking into account the needs of underserved groups. Digital health can be an opportunity for everyone if there is knowledge of these ethical issues and designers are held responsible for acting in accordance with these principles when building and deploying digital health technologies. If this is ensured, digital health has the potential to 'only' improve healthcare and public health, similar to previous advancements. Digital health should increase equitable and just access to health preventive care. When that happens, it should be viewed as "just digital health."

AI will be utilized in healthcare more and more, thus it must be morally responsible. By employing proper algorithms based on unbiased real-time data, data bias needs to be avoided. It is necessary to conduct regular audits of the algorithm, including how it has been implemented in a system, and to form diverse and inclusive programming groups. Despite the fact that AI

might not be able to fully replace human judgment, it can nonetheless aid physicians in making wiser decisions. In situations when medical expertise is lacking and resources are scarce, AI could be used to undertake screening and evaluation. Unlike human judgment, all AI decisions—even the shortest ones—are systematic because algorithms are involved. Because of this, even when actions don't have legal consequences (because of the lack of effective legal frameworks), they always result in accountability—not from the machine itself, but from the people who created it and use it. While there are ethical dilemmas associated with the use of AI, it is likely to be limited, coexist with, or replace existing systems, ushering in the age of AI in healthcare, and not adopting AI may also be immoral and unscientific.

REFERENCES

1. Morley J, Floridi L. An ethically mindful approach to AI for Health Care. SSRN Electron J. (2020) 395:254–5. doi: 10.2139/ssrn.3830536
2. Drukker L, Noble JA, Papageorghiou AT. Introduction to artificial intelligence in ultrasound imaging in Obstetrics and Gynecology. *Ultrasound Obstetr Gynecol.* (2020) 56:498–505. doi: 10.1002/uog. 2122
3. Rong G, Mendez A, Bou Assi E, Zhao B, Sawan M. Artificial intelligence in healthcare: review and prediction case studies. *Engineering.* (2020) 6:291– 301. doi: 10.1016/j.eng.2019.08.015
4. Miller DD, Brown EW. Artificial Intelligence in medical practice: the question to the answer? *Am J Med.* (2018) 131:129 doi: 10.1016/j.amjmed.2017.10.035
5. Smith H. Clinical AI: opacity, accountability, responsibility and liability. *AI Soc.* (2020) 36:535–45. doi: 10.1007/s00146-020-01019-6.
6. World Health Organisation. WHO Guideline: Recommendations on Digital Interventions for Health System Strengthening. Geneva: World Health Organisation, 2019. Available from: <https://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/digitalinterventions-health-system-strengthening/en>
7. Kickbusch I. Health promotion 4.0. *Health Promot Int* 2019;34:179–81. 3 Beauchamp D. Public health as social justice. In: Beauchamp DE, Steinbock B, editors. *New Ethics for the Public's Health.* New York: Oxford University Press, 1999: 105–14.

8. O'Neill O. *Towards Justice and Virtue*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- Daniels N. *Just Health: Meeting Health Needs Fairly*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008.
9. Daniels N. Accountability for reasonableness. *BMJ* 2000;321:1300–1. 7 Sreenivasan G. Justice, inequality, and health. In: Zalta EN, editor. *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy* [Internet]. Available from: <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/fall2018/entries/justice-inequality-health/> (10 August 2019, date last accessed).
10. Rid A, Biller-Andorno N. Justice in action? Introduction to the mini symposium on Norman Daniels' just health: meeting health needs fairly. *J Med Ethics* 2009;35:1–2.
11. Tayler HA. Incorporating ethics into teaching health policy analysis. In: Strech D, Hirschberg I, Marckmann G, editors. *Ethics in Public Health and Health Policy. Concepts, Methods, Case Studies*. Dordrecht: Springer, 2013: 83–92.
12. Rid A. Just health: meeting health needs fairly. *Bull World Health Organ*, 2008;86:653.
13. Rethinking the meaning of “harm” in the age of wearable technologies. *JMIR mHealth uHealth* 2015;3:e6.
14. Kirigia JM, Seddoh A, Gatwiri D, et al. E-health: determinants, opportunities, challenges and the way forward for countries in the WHO African Region. *BMC Public Health* 2005;5:137.
15. Chauvin J, Rispel L. Digital technology, population health, and health equity. *J Public Health Policy* 2016;37:145–53.
16. AI Ethics Lab. <http://aiethicslab.com/analysis/> (30 May 2019, date last accessed)
17. Perakslis ED. Using digital health to enable ethical health research in conflict and other humanitarian settings. *Confl Health* 2018;12:1–8.
18. Brall C, Maeckelberghe E, Porz R, et al. Research ethics 2.0: new perspectives on norms, values, and integrity in genomic research in times of even scarcer resources. *Public Health Genomics* 2017;20:27–35.
19. *Public Health Genomics* 2017;20:27–35.
20. Scassa T. Data ownership. CIGI Papers No. 187; Ottawa Faculty of Law Working Paper No. 2018-26. Sep. 2018. Available from <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3251542> (30 May 2019, date last accessed).
21. Topol E. A discussion with digital health pioneer Dr. Eric Topol. *CMAJ* 2013;185:E597.



22. Royackers L, Timmer J, Kool L, van Est R. Societal and ethical issues of digitization.
23. *Ethics Inf Technol* 2018;20:127–42.
24. The Academy of Medical Sciences. Our data-driven future in healthcare. People and partnerships at the heart of health related technologies. Nov. 2018. Available from: <https://acmedsci.ac.uk/file-download/74634438> (22 May 2019, date last accessed).