

DOES SEXUAL SIZE DIMORPHISM VARY WITH LOG SEXUAL SIZE DIMORPHISM IN RED MILLIPEDES CENTROBOLUS COOK, 1897?

Author's Name: Mark Ian Cooper

Affiliation: School of Animal, Plant & Environmental Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg 2050, South Africa

E-Mail: <u>cm.i@aol.com</u>

DOI No. - 08.2020-25662434

Abstract

This study aimed to determine what happened when Sexual Size Dimorphism (SSD) and log SSD were covaried. The log SSD was correlated with SSD in the forest millipede genus Centrobolus. There was a significant correlation between SSD and the log SSD (r=0.97, Z score=9.29, n=22, p=0). SSD was significantly different from log SSD (U=0, z score=-5.66864, n=22, 22, p<0.00001). Variance in the polygynandrous reproductive systems occurred when larger females and higher SSD covary with log SSD. There is no apparent reason to favor the log-transformed model over the non-transformed model.

Keywords: Dimorphic; eco-geography; gradient; log; size; species

INTRODUCTION

A forest genus of diplopods belonging to the Order Spirobolida found along the eastern coast of southern Africa was the subject of this study ^[3]. The millipede genus Centrobolus is found in the temperate South African subregion, its northern limits on the east coast of southern Africa being about -17° latitude South (S) and its southern limits being -35° S (Lawrence, 1967). As essentially shade-loving Diplopoda, the members of the genus are represented by 39 species in the littoral forests of the eastern half of the subcontinent (Lawrence, 1967).

Sexual size dimorphism (SSD) is correlated with log SSD in the pachybolid millipede genus Centrobolus Cook, 1897 (Cook, 1897; Hamer, 1998; Lawrence, 1967). A null hypothesis is that there is no difference between SSD and log SSD.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

39 valid species were identified as belonging to the genus Centrobolus Cook, 1897. Millipede-type localities were obtained from a checklist of southern African millipedes (Hamer, 1998). These were tabulated and known localities also listed in Microsoft Word online type (https://office.live.com/start/Word.aspx) (Table 1). Log SSD and body size were obtained by calculating the volumes (cylindrical) using the lengths and widths of species which were inputted into the formula for a cylinder's volume (<u>https://bvjus.com/volume-of-a-cylinder-calculator</u>). SSD was calculated as the ratio of female volume to male volume. SSD and log SSD were checked for correlations using the Pearson Correlation Coefficient calculator(<u>https://www.gigacalculator.c</u> om/calculators/correlation- coefficient- calculator.php).Data were tested for normality with a Kol mogorov-Smirnov calculator (<u>https://www.socscistatistics.com/tests/kolmogorov/default.aspx</u>). SSD was compared to log SSD using a Mann- Whitney U- test (<u>https://www.socscistatistics.com/t</u> ests/mannwhitney/default2.aspx).

DOI: https://www.doi-ds.org/doilink/06.2022-83544225/UIJIR www.uijir.com Page 52



RESULTS

There was a significant correlation between SSD and log SSD (Fig. 1: r=0.97226865, Z score=9.29399258, n=22, p=0). The least-squares regression line is y=0.27887766x-0.27332146. The 95% confidence interval is [0.9332, 0.9886]. The 95% right-sided interval is [0.9419, +1] and the 95% left sided interval is [-1, 0.9869]. SSD was normally distributed (D=0.15168, n=22, p=0.20477). Log SSD was normally distributed (D=0.09477, n=22, p=0.97817). SSD was significantly different from log SSD (U=0, z score=-5.66864, n=22, 22, p<0.00001) (Table 1).

Species	SSD	Location	Log SSD
C. albitarsis	2.89	Lochiel	0.460897843
C. angelicus		Makhanda	
C. anulatus	1.19	Umhlanga Rocks	0.0755469614
C. atrophus		Signal Hill	
C. bifidus		Nkhandla	
C. coriaceus		Caffraria	
C. decoratus	0.63	Ngome Forest	-0.200659451
C. digrammus	1.01	Hout bay	0.00432137378
C. dubius	1.35	Gans bay	0.130333768
C. formosus		Caffraria	
C. fulgidus	1.65	Richards Bay	0.217483944
C. immaculuatus	2.72	Gorongosa	0.434568904
C. inscriptus	1.21	Scottburgh	0.0827853703
C. inyanganus	1.44	Inyanga village	0.158362492
C. lawrencei	1.57	Pietermaritzburg	0.195899652
C. litoralis		Algoa Bay	
C. luctuosus		Inhambambane	
C. lugubris	2.18	Glenconnor	0.338456494
C. miniatomaculatus		Tsitsikamma	
C. pococki		Cape Peninsula	
C. promontorius	0.69	Little Lions Head	-0.161150909
C. pusillus	2.08	Qolora River mouth	0.318063335
C. richardii	0.95	Richards Bay	-0.0222763947
C. ruber	1.62	Port Shepstone	0.209515015
C. rubricollis		Karkloof waterfall	
C. rugulosus	1.97	Hluhluwe	0.294466226
C. sagatinus	1.27	Between Uitenhage and Addo	0.103803721
C. sanguineomarginatus		Bain's Kloof	
C. sanguinipes		Qolora River mouth	
C. saussurii		Caffraria	
C. silvanus	1.13	Kentani	0.0530784435
C. splendidus		Masiene near Chai Chai	
C. strigosus		Caffraria	
C. striolatus		Port St Johns	
C. titanophilus	1.15	DeHoop vlei	0.0606978404
C. transvaalicus	1.26	Mariepskop	0.100370545
C. tricolor	1.10	Champaigne Castle	0.0413926852
C. validus		Haroni River	
C. vastus	1.81	Port St Johns	0.257678575

Table 1. Species in the millipede genus Centrobolus Cook, 1897, with SSD, type or collected localities and log SSD.

DOI: https://www.doi-ds.org/doilink/06.2022-83544225/UIJIR www.uijir.com





Figure 1. Correlation between log SSD (y) and SSD (x) in Centrobolus Cook, 1897.

DISCUSSION

A positive relationship was found between log SSD and SSD. C. albitarsus has the highest SSD (2.89) and the highest log SSD (0.460897843). SSD was lowest in C. decoratus (0.63) which had the lowest log SSD (-0.200659451). This study supports the log SSD as a predictor of SSD and supports the converse in Centrobolus. Although the null hypothesis is not corroborated there is no reason to favor the log-transformed model over the non-transformed model due to their close correlation (Ranta et al. 1994). The classical statistical method provides equal inferences to log-transformed models (Feng et al. 2014).

CONCLUSION

SSD increased systematically with log SSD in Centrobolus. SSD increased hypo-allometrically with body size in this genus.

REFERENCES

- 1. Cook, O.F. (1897). New relatives of Spirobolus giganteus. Brandtia, (A series of occasional papers an Diplopoda and other Arthropoda), 18: 73-75.
- 2. Feng, C., Wang, H., Lu, N., Chen, T., He, H., Lu, Y., Tu, X.M. (2014). Log-transformation and its implications for data analysis. Shanghai Archives of Psychiatry, 26(2): 105-109.
- 3. Hamer, M.L. (1998). Checklist of Southern African millipedes (Myriapoda: Diplopoda). Annals of the Natal Museum, 39(1): 11-82.
- 4. Lawrence, R.F. (1967). The Spiroboloidea (Diplopoda) of the eastern half of Southern Africa*. Annals of the Natal Museum, 18(3): 607-646.
- 5. Ranta, E., Laurila, A., Elmberg, J. (1994). Reinventing the Wheel: Analysis of Sexual Dimorphism in Body Size. Oikos, 70(3), 313-321.

DOI: https://www.doi-ds.org/doilink/06.2022-83544225/UIJIR www.uijir.com Page 54